The sustainability of trading fish: evaluating the socioeconomic impacts of beach seines on smallscale fish traders on the coast of Kenya

> Angela Yang Dr. Tim McClanahan

angela.yang987@gmail.com









Introduction

Beach seines have been banned in Kenya since 2001. However, they continue to be used, despite the known detrimental impacts on the environment and the livelihoods of *fishers*. One argument for continuing to use beach seines is that they provide employment opportunities in poor economic environments, particularly for the female fish traders.



This study aims to investigate:

 The impact of beach seines on the socioeconomic status of fish traders
Other variables that may contribute to significant differences in socioeconomic status of fish traders

3) Fish traders' knowledge of marine resources and fisheries management4) The "adaptability" of fish traders in the context of changing resources







Study Sites

19 Fish Landing Sites were divided into four "treatments" by their use of beach seines:

 No seine – historically never used beach seines (7)

- Seasonal seine beach seines used seasonally (1)
 - Seine beach seines used year round (3)
- Stop Seine stopped useof beach seines (8)





Methodology

382 names of traders were collected from 19 Fish Landing Sites.

Fish traders were approximately two-thirds female and one-third male and each treatment had between 90-100 Fish Traders.

Traders were grouped into the four treatments.

142 Fish Traders were surveyed (88 female, 54 male), approximately 35 fish traders from each of the four treatments.





Who are the fish traders?

		STANDAR	RD STAND	ARD					
CHARACTERISTIC	MEAN	ERROR	DEVIAT	FION MIN	I	MAX			
Age	39.01	1.0328	37225 12.30	809335	19	8			
Education (Years of Formal Schooling) 4.25 0.31006114 3.694804901				0	1				
Number of Household Members involved									
in Fish Trade	1.38	0.05890	0.701	.896058	1				
Number in Household	7.39	0.27333	37867 3.257	196607	1	1			
Hours/Week in Fish Tho "avo	The "average" figh trader is close to 10 years								
Net Weekly Income IIIC ave	The average fish fracer is close to 40 years								
Weekly Food Expended with	old with limited education supporting a								
Number of Years in T	ora with milited cadeation supporting a								
Kg of Fish on "Good househol	household of 7 by working 55 hours per								
Kg of Fish on "Bad D									
Kg of Fish per Day Week.	week.								
Kg of Fish Consumed									
CHADACTE						DED CEINE			
A croce tr	A grass treatments there was no significant								
Age ACIOSS II	Across treatments, there was no significant								
Education (Y demogra	demographic difference among the fish								
Number of He activesta	demographic difference among the fish								
in Fish Trade traders	e traders								
Number in He						6.35			
Hours/Week in Fish Trade		48.63	56.17	61.86		54.64			
Net Weekly Income 6,648.00 9,919.43 2,261.51					4,665.76				
Weekly Food Expenditure 2,456.58 3,810.00 2,219.00					2,677.06				
Number of Years in Trade 11.42 11.34 9.07					10.99				
Kg of Fish on "Good Day" 49.04 89.83 14.89					25.96				
Kg of Fish on "Bad Day" 5.84 13.64 3.21						6.22			

Results

Three proxies were used to measure relative wealth:

- 1) self-reported weekly income
- 2) Material Style of Life (MSL)
- 3) Weekly Food Expenditure (WFE)

Average Fish Purchased Per Day by Treatment

Seine sites - significantly lower than Seasonal Seine and Stop Seine. Seasonal Seine sites - significantly different from No Seine sites



¹⁶⁰⁰⁰ Fish Trader Income By Beach Seine Usage



Treatment (Beach Seine Usage)

Fish Trader Income By Job And Beach Seine Usage



Material Style of Life (MSL)

Rotated Component Matrix

(converged in 3 iterations)

Itom	Component		
Item	1	2	
Television	0.878	0.145	
Generator/Electricity	0.853	0.112	
VCR/DVD Player	0.806	0.114	
Refrigerator	0.599	0.182	
Metal Roof	0.547	0.102	
Cement Floor	0.524	0.429	
Electric Fan	0.494	-0.068	
Car/Motorbike	0.466	0.008	
Mobile Phone	0.051	0.691	
Bicycle	0.109	0.689	
Hurricane Lamp	-0.039	0.647	
Kerosene	0.399	0.412	

*Bold denotes high factor loading (>0.4)

Extraction Method: Principal Component Analysis Rotation Method: Varimax with Kaiser Normalizatior





There is no significant difference between treatments for MSL 1 and MSL 2. However, Fish Fryers have significantly lower scores for MSL 1 (p=0.0049) and MSL 2 (p=<0.0001)

4



MSL Wealth Factor 1



Treatment (Beach Seine Usage)

Ę



Gender and Fish Trading Jobs

About 2/3 of Fish traders are female. Most female fish traders are Fish Fryers so gender and job are highly correlated.

Fish Fryers purchased the least amount of fish, earned the least money, and worked the most hours.

MSL Wealth Factor 2 scores were significantly lower for Fish Fryers (p= <0.0001)



Fish Fryers used 100% or more of their weekly income on food for the household alone. Several Fish Fryers earned "negative" income.

	Mean Weekly	Mean Weekly Food	Food Expenditure as	
	Income	Expenditure	percentage of Income	
Dealers (n=58)	10896.55	3369.31	30.92%	
F	25428.57	5285.71	20.79%	
М	8901.96	3106.27	34.89%	
Fryers (n=83)	2345.46	2388.13	101.82%	
F	2367.16	2381.44	100.60%	
М	1766.67	2566.67	145.28%	
Fryer/Dealer (n=1)	10920.00	1750	16.03%	



Age

Age was positively correlated with income (p= 0.0354, y= 2015.4164 + 38.69583x) and consequently Weekly Food Expenditure (p= 0.0331, y=1973.8159 + 14.865903x). Age is significantly different between fish traders (p=0.0027)



Education by Treatment and Job



Transport

Modes of transport impacted all proxies for relative wealth, with bicycles playing a key role. Only Fish Dealers used bicycles, although bicycles were in 12 Fish Fryer households as well.



Marine Perceptions

Although 83.8% of fish traders were aware that there was less fish, the majority did not know the reasons why or how to increase fish.



F

Fishery Management Preferences

When asked to rank 6 management approaches, size restriction was the most preferred by the traders, possibly indicating that they prefer larger fish. Marine Parks are the least preferred management option.





Adaptability

Fish traders were asked hypothetical scenarios and if they would be still trading fish in five year's time:

50% less fish: 66.2% would continue, although 16% of those will "adapt" 31% would exit fish trading

If no more fish: 82.4% would default to selling non-fish products or farm

In 5 years: 80.3% anticipated they will still be trading fish





Conclusions & Recommendations

There are no obvious benefits to fish traders at fish landing sites that use beach seines continuously. Fish traders at Seine sites earned 26% less income than No Seine sites, 46% less than Seasonal Seine sites, and 52% less than Stop Seine sites. Will stopping or controlling the use of beach seines benefit everyone?

Fish Dealers had higher average weekly incomes than Fish Fryers. Most female fish traders were Fish Fryers. Are there other opportunities for Fish Fryers to improve their socioeconomic status?

Most fish traders were aware of decreased fish catches, but did not know why or how to improve fish catches. Will increased ecological knowledge improve sustainable resource management?

Most traders would continue trading fish even if there is a 50% decline. At what point will fish traders seek alternative employment?



Acknowledgements

Dr. Tim McClanahan, Dr. Nyawira Muthiga, Caroline Abunge, Rodgers Charo, Joshua Kinyili, Caroline Kiriinya, Douglas Maina , Rose Machaku, Maureen Otieno, Johnstone Omukoto, Amini Tengeza, and the WCS Coral Reef Conservation Program team.

Dr. Tim Daw (University of East Anglia, Stockholm Resilience Centre)

Dr. Joshua Cinner, James Cook University

Dr. David Wilkie, Dr. Caleb McClennen ,and Dr. Elizabeth Matthews, WCS

Brendan Wenzel, artist and conservationist

The fishing communities and the fish traders who so willingly gave up their time to assist and participate in the surveys. Asanteni!