Population Size, Distribution and Conservation Status of Howler Monkeys (*Alouatta coibensis trabeata*) and Spider Monkeys (*Ateles geoffroyi azuerensis*) on the Azuero Peninsula, Panama

Pedro G. Méndez-Carvajal

Department of Anthropology, School of Social Science and Law, Oxford Brookes University, Oxford, UK Fundación Pro-Conservación de los Primates Panameños (FCPP), República de Panamá

Abstract: The Azuero howler monkey, *Alouatta coibensis trabeata*, and the Azuero spider monkey, *Ateles geoffroyi azuerensis*, are endemic to the Azuero Peninsula, southwestern Panama, Central America and they are considered Critically Endangered. They are threatened by deforestation, poaching, and illegal trade. I carried out population surveys of the two subspecies from April 2001 to June 2009. The study covered potential habitats for these primates in the three provinces where they are believed to occur (Herrera, Los Santos and part of Veraguas). Surveys determined their occurrence and locations in each province. In all, 7,821 hrs were spent in survey activities. I used four methods: 1) Direct observation of presence/absence; 2) triangulations based on vocalizations; 3) strip-transect censuses, and 4) road counts. Forty-five Azuero howler monkey groups were seen and counted, totaling 452 individuals with a mean of 9.6 individuals/group, SE ± 3.3 (range=3–26). I estimate approximately 322 howler groups and *c*. 3,092 individuals remaining in the wild in the three provinces. For the Azuero spider monkey, 74 individuals in 10 sub-groups and five complete groups were counted directly, with a mean of 3.8 individuals/subgroup, SE ± 0.6 (range 2–7) and a mean of 12.5 individuals/group, SE ± 3.7 (range 10–22). Overall, I confirmed the existence of approximately 13 spider monkey subgroups and 145 individuals of *A. g. azuerensis* remaining in the wild in the provinces of Veraguas and Los Santos. It is already extinct in the province of Herrera. This study confirms that both subspecies are Critically Endangered. Each appears to have already experienced changes in group composition due to isolation and habitat degradation. Conservation measures based on educational awareness programs have been initiated.

Key words: Alouatta coibensis trabeata, Ateles geoffroyi azuerensis, Azuero howler monkey, Azuero spider monkey, distributions, conservation status, Azuero Peninsula, Panama

Introduction

This is the first range-wide assessment of the distributions and populations of the Azuero howler monkey, *Alouatta coibensis trabeata*, and the Azuero spider monkey, *Ateles geoffroyi azuerensis*; endemic to the Azuero Peninsula of southwestern Panama (Froehlich and Froehlich 1987). Both are assessed as Critically Endangered on the *IUCN Red List of Threatened Species* (Cuarón *et al.* 2008). Surveys were carried out, using four conventional techniques to assess population parameters of the two subspecies. The aim was to calculate not only total numbers in Azuero but to obtain information on group characteristics, specifically their group structure, relating the findings to habitat size and other environmental variables. These two primates are among the most endangered in Panama, and the information obtained will provide a basis for the Fundación Pro-Conservación de los Primates Panameños (FCPP) and the Panama Environmental Authority (ANAM) to establish and enforce a conservation program. In this assessment I identify promising localities (e.g., villages, towns, protected areas) and primate populations for the development of long-term conservation projects and environmental education programs for local people (Jacobson *et al.* 2006). As stressed by Godfray and Crawley (2004), to improve conservation prospects of threatened wildlife in deforested regions such as the Azuero Peninsula, the remaining forests that still hold wild populations, need to be assessed to document the extent of environmental change that is taking place and responses to these changes by the endangered primate subspecies.

Methods

Study area

Azuero howler and spider monkeys are endemic to the Azuero Peninsula in the southwest of Panama. Annual average temperature is 28.1°C (range 22.5–33.7°C), and average annual rainfall is 1,410 mm/year (Navas et al. 2001). Azuero, encompassing the provinces of Herrera, Los Santos and the eastern part of Veraguas, is severely deforested. There is a dry season from December to April, and a rainy season from May to December (Suárez 1981). The lowlands are quite flat with small hills reaching 90 to 150 m above sea level (Méndez-Carvajal 2001). The El Montuoso Forest Reserve, at 900 m above sea level (Arcia et al. 2004), is in the highlands in the north, and the Cerro Hoya Natural Park (Cerro Hoya reaches 1,559 m above sea level) is in the southwest. Forests in the Azuero Peninsula remain mainly on hilltops and along rivers. The lowlands are dominated by pasture interspersed with forest patches that are connected in some areas by gallery forest and living fences. The tallest trees reach 15 to 20 m in the remnant forests and living fences that line the principal and secondary roads, delimiting the cattle ranches and pastures (Méndez-Carvajal 2001, 2008). Vegetation was classified and mapped using satellite data from GH NASA-Tele Atlas 2008 and Garmin Etrex (MapSource 6.15.4), along

with information provided by the Panamanian Environmental Authority (ANAM) and the landowners. Statistical analyses were carried out using Excel, SPSS 16.0. Spearman's tests were applied to evaluate relationships between group size, forest height and the area of the habitat. The study area was divided into five regions (see Fig. 1, Table 1).

Data collection

I report here on survey data collected over nine years — 7,821 hours in the field from April 2001 to June 2009. Surveys were carried out on 121 days (10–15 days per year) (Table 2). Population densities were calculated by the number of individuals found divided by the size of each connected region; providing as such ecological densities, as indicated by Eisenberg (1979), Chapman *et al.* (1988) and Rudran *et al.* (1996).

Areas were selected on the basis of the presence of forest and information provided by the local people. Four methods were used in each survey area: presence/absence recording, strip transect, road count, and listening for calls and locating groups by triangulation. Besides seeing the primates, we recorded their presence through signs, which included smell, feces, tracks, chewed leaves, and calls (Rabinowitz 2003). Twelve strip transects were set up, each at least 1 km long, to cover the entire peninsula, following the recommendations



Figure 1. Study site. Location of areas where surveys have been carried out along the Azuero Peninsula.

of Ferrari (2002) and Carvalho-Oliveira *et al.* (2003) (Fig. 1). We carried out strip transects if the forest was at least 40 m wide. This method was used to survey gallery forest, living fences and patches of forest in fragments. Observations were made on foot between 08:00 and 12:00 hr and 14:00 and 18:00 hr. Speed of travel along the strip transects was 1 km/hr by foot. Eleven roads were also surveyed, averaging 26.6 km (range 18–34 km; n=11). Each was surveyed by car twice a year; average speed was 15 km/hr.

The use of listening posts and triangulation to locate groups proved effective for howler monkeys in seven areas with isolated patches of forest (Fig. 1). Three listening posts were set up and manned from 04:30, for fixed periods in the morning, noon and late afternoon for at least three days in each area. We recorded the time and position of vocalizations using a GPS, and estimated the location of vocalizing groups using a compass-bearing and an estimated distance from the observer (Brockelman and Ali 1987; Aldrich et al. 2006). Whenever possible, the groups recorded were verified by direct observation later in the morning. They were counted and recounted at least twice each day while we stayed in the area. This process was repeated several times to ensure accuracy before an average group size and composition could be recorded (Milton 1992; Ferrari 2002). This method helped us to identify new groups unnoticed by our conventional strip transect method. Spider monkeys were detected with this method in previous surveys in the area of Chucantí, Darien (Méndez-Carvajal et al. 2010a). Black spider monkeys, Ateles fusciceps rufiventris, call almost every day throughout the day, and we expected

the same behavior in Azuero. Azuero spider monkeys were, however, largely silent, probably because people chase them and sometimes shoot them. Azuero spider monkeys always fled when we found them, often subsequently mixing with groups of the similarly colored howler monkeys. They called so infrequently that this method proved impractical for this species in fragmented habitats.

For each group seen we recorded the group size and composition—adult male, adult female, juvenile and infant, following the classifications of Milton (1992) and Campbell and Gibson (2008). The number of groups detected was multiplied by the average group size for each area (Milton 1992). The combination of the four methods maximized our chances of detecting the groups remaining around the non-protected areas. The results from the different methods were analyzed separately but were complementary and allowed us to consolidate our information on the groups at each site.

Data Analysis

I calculated mean group size and composition for each subspecies. To estimate the total number of individuals of a subspecies present in a given area, I calculated densities as follows: Transects and Road counts: D=N/2WL; density (D) is found by dividing the number of individuals (N) recorded by twice the estimated detection distance (W) of the forest surveyed, multiplied by the length (L) of the transect.

For triangulation: D=fn/A; density (D) is found by multiplying the number of groups located by triangulation in a sampled area (N) by a correction factor for the bias that not

Region	Coordinates	Localities	Vegetation	Highest elevation (m)	Annual rainfall (mm)	Forest cover (%)	Canopy height (m)	Temperature (°C)	Forest cover/ Forest surveyed/ Human population
Northern (lowland)	8°00'17"N 80°41'50"W	Ocú, La Polonia, Calaba- zal, Llano Grande, Llano Hato, Santa Mónica, Pe- dregoso, Parita, Cabuya, Aguas Buenas	Secondary forest, living fences, gallery forest. Dry forest.	100	1,400	50	15	33	4% (100.5 km²)/ 42% (76.3 km²)/ 107,911
Northern (highland)	7°45'17"N 80°45'05"W	Alto del Higo, El Ñuco, Caras Pintadas, Tres Puntas, Sonadora, Chepo de Las Minas. Reserva Forestal El Montuoso (RFEM)	Secondary connected forest, pre-montane, gallery forest, living fences. Montane forest.	1000	2,500	90	25	28	
Southern (Veraguas/ Los Santos)	7°19'01"N 80°27'56"W	Restingue, Cambutal, Tembladera, Güera, Venao, Tonosí, Cañas, SE Cerro Hoya and SW Reserva la Tronosa (RFLT)	Secondary forest, gallery forest, living fences. Dry forest.	1,500	2,000	65	15	30	
Eastern (Los Santos)	7°35'14"N 80°17'51'W	Las Tablas, Oria, La Miel, El Cocal, La Palma, Tonosí and Flores	Secondary forest, living fences, gallery forest. Dry forest.	r forest, 100 1,500 70 bes, gallery r forest.		15	33	7%(168 km²)/ 39% (66.32 km²)/ 88,487	
Western (South-east Veraguas)	07°22'20"N 80°51'44"W	Arenas, Quebro, Flores, Mariato, Torio, Playita, bourder of Parque Nacio- nal Cerro Hoya	Secondary forest, living fences, gallery forest. Dry forest.	500	1,400	65	15	34	8%(250 km²)/ 36%(90 km²)/ 4,492

Table 1. Characterization of five Azuero regions divided in this study according to the provinces surveyed (see Fig. 1). Climatic and botanical information from Navas

 et al. 2001, Salazar-Allen (2001), and Arcia *et al.* 2004. Human population data from (González 2002).

all groups vocalize in the same sample period (f), divided by the study area (A) (Brockelman and Ali 1987).

Azuero howler monkey analysis

To estimate of the overall density for *Alouatta coiben*sis trabeata, I used results of the two most effective methods applied for this survey: triangulation and strip transects. The total number of individuals was calculated using mean group size multiplied by the number of groups detected in connected forest. Using all relevant data, we tried to estimate the number of groups existing in the more isolated areas. Total population size was calculated using the criteria of Nichols and Conroy (1996). Thus, the equation used to calculate the total population of A. c. trabeata was based on a modification of the Eisenberg (1979) ecological formula with a canonical estimator as following:

$$\dot{N} = C/\alpha\beta$$

where C is the total number of animals, β =Observation probability expressed as $\beta = \hat{y}/\chi$, \hat{y} is the density of the incomplete population survey (Strip Transect), and χ the most accurate density of the complete survey (Triangulation); α =is the area sampled.

Azuero spider monkey analysis

The *A. g. azuerensis* population was estimated using two of the four methods applied for this survey. The most effective methods to detect Azuero spider monkeys were road counts and presence/absence, considering between them the presence/absence as the more complete and the road count as the less accurate method. We calculate their total population by the number of localities confirmed as "spider monkey present" and then, assuming at least one subgroup per locality, we multiplied the average subgroup size obtained by direct observations and determine the total population by the equation:

 $\check{N} = C/\alpha\beta$

where C is the total number of animals, β =Observation probability expressed as $\beta = \hat{y}/\chi$, where \hat{y} is the density of the incomplete population survey (road counts) and the χ the most accurate density of the complete survey (presence/absence); α =is the area sampled.

Results

Total hours/effort invested in presence/absence recording, including informal interviews, for both species was 771.5 hours. Howler monkeys and spider monkeys occurred together in the following locations: Restingue, Cerro Culón, Río Ventana, Tembladera, Punta Blanca, Cerro Hoya National Park, Cerro Moya, Jobero, Cambutal, Altos de Güera, Río Güera, La Tronosa Forestal Reserve, El Cortezo, Quema, Guánico, Tonosí, Cacao, Cañas, Venao, Los Pozos, Macaracas, Las Palmas, Llano de Piedra, Mogollón, Cerro Canajaguas, Cerro El Vijía, Valle Rico, La Miel, Valle de Tonosí, Flores (Los Santos), Oria, Río Purio, Los Ñopos, El Cacarañal, Macaraquitas, La Llanita, Buena Vista, Mariato, Arenas, and Flores (Veraguas) (Méndez-Carvajal 2008) (Table 3).

Populations by region

Northern Region: Herrera Province (lowland). The largest howler population was found in the northern lowland region; 261 howler monkeys in 11 groups. Group size averaged 23 individuals (range 15–39); all in highly fragmented forests. Average group composition was 6.0 males (25%), 7.8 females (32%), 6.6 juveniles (27%) and 3.4 infants (14%). The adult male/female ratio was 1:1.3, female/juvenile 1:0.8, and female/infant was 1:0.4. Densities were

Table 2. Summary of survey activities from 2001 to 2009. Average survey time was 12 hrs/day. P/A: Presence/absence; ST: Strip Transects; RC: Road counts;T: Triangulation. Regions described in Table 1.

Initial date	Final date	Region	No. of observers	Days worked	Survey time (hrs)	Method applied
4/21/2001	4/25/2001	Northern (l)	6	5	360	P/A, ST, RC,T
5/21/2001	5/25/2001	Northern (l)	6	5	360	P/A, ST, RC,T
8/8/2001	8/12/2001	Northern (h)	3	5	180	P/A, ST, RC,T
12/5/2001	12/9/2001	Northern (l)	2	5	120	P/A, ST, RC,T
1/15/2002	1/25/2002	Northern (h)	9	10	1080	P/A, ST, RC,T
2/21/2002	2/25/2002	Northern (h)	2	5	120	P/A, ST, RC,T
4/21/2002	5/1/2002	Northern (h)	9	10	1080	P/A, ST, RC,T
7/11/2002	7/15/2002	Northern (h)	2	5	120	P/A, ST, RC,T
3/7/2003	3/16/2003	Northern (1) & Eastern	3	10	360	P/A, ST, RC,T
1/24/2004	1/2/2004	Eastern	7	10	840	P/A, ST, RC,T
5/1/2005	5/10/2005	Eastern	2	10	240	P/A, ST, RC,T
5/25/2006	6/3/2006	Northern (1) & Eastern	3	10	360	P/A, ST, RC,T
1/5/2007	1/15/2007	Northern (l), Eastern, Western & Southern	6	11	792	P/A, ST, RC,T
5/19/2008	5/29/2008	Northern (1) & Eastern, Western & Southern	8	10	960	P/A, ST, RC,T
4/25/2009	5/25/2009	Northern (1), Eastern, Western & Southern	7	10	840	P/A, ST, RC,T
Total			mean=5	121	7,812	

Table 3. Localities confirmed with presence/absence of *A. coibensis trabeata* and *A. geoffroyi azuerensis*, Azuero Peninsula, Panama. ? = probably present; +? = high probability of presence.

	Localities	District	Province	A.c. trabeata	A.g. azuerensis		
	Northern Region	Ocú	Herrera	Absent	Absent		
1	Ocú	Ocú	Herrera	Absent	Absent		
2	Las Animas	Ocú	Herrera	Absent	Absent		
3	La Polonia	Ocú	Herrera	Absent	Absent		
4	El Calabazal	Ocú	Herrera	Present	Absent		
5	Camarón	Ocú	Herrera	Present	Absent		
6	Tijeras	Ocú	Herrera	Present	Absent		
7	Quebrada Limón	Ocú	Herrera	Present	Absent		
8	Llano Grande	Ocú	Herrera	Present	Absent		
9	Llano Hato	Ocú	Herrera	Present	Absent		
10	Santa Mónica	Ocú	Herrera	Present	Absent		
11	La Chavarría	Ocú	Herrera	Present	Absent		
12	Aguas Buenas	Ocú	Herrera	Present	Absent		
13	Cerro Noneca	Ocú	Herrera	Present	Absent		
14	Cerro Lavadero	Ocú	Herrera	Present	Absent		
15	Cerro Comején	Ocú	Herrera	Present	Absent		
16	Los Carates	Ocú	Herrera	Present	Absent		
17	El Cercado	Ocú	Herrera	Present	Absent		
18	Las Manuelas	Ocú	Herrera	Present	Absent		
19	Pedernal	Ocú	Herrera	Present	Absent		
20	Santo Domingo	Ocú	Herrera	Present	Absent		
21	Los Higos	Ocú	Herrera	Present	Absent		
22	Potuguilla	Ocú	Herrera	Present	Absent		
23	Los Asientos	Ocú	Herrera	Present	Absent		
24	Parita	Parita	Herrera	Present	Absent		
25	Cabuya	Parita	Herrera	Present	Absent		
26	Candelaria	Parita	Herrera	Present	Absent		
27	Portobelillo	Parita	Herrera	Present	Absent		
28	Cerro Tigre	Parita	Herrera	Present	Absent		
29	Queb. Grande	Parita	Herrera	Present	Absent		
30	Río Parita	Parita	Herrera	Present	Absent		
31	Llano La Cruz	Parita	Herrera	Present	Absent		
32	Río Viejo	Parita	Herrera	Present	Absent		
33	Las Gardenias	Parita	Herrera	Present	Absent		
34	Los Lajones	Parita	Herrera	Present	Absent		
35	Queb. Carrizal	Parita	Herrera	Present	Absent		
36	Valencia	Parita	Herrera	Present	Absent		
37	Chepo	Las Minas	Herrera	Present	Absent		
38	El Ñuco	Las Minas	Herrera	Present	Absent		
39	Sonadora	Las Minas	Herrera	Present	Absent		
40	Tres Puntas	Las Minas	Herrera	Present	Absent		
41	Alto del Higo	Las Minas	Herrera	Present	Absent		
42	R. Forestal El Montuoso	Las Minas	Herrera	Present	Absent		
43	Queb. Chuérala	Las Minas	Herrera	Present	Absent		
44	Caras Pintadas	Las Minas	Herrera	Present	Absent		
45	Río La Villa	Las Minas	Herrera	Present	Absent		
46	Río Tebario	Las Minas	Herrera	Present	Absent		
47	Los Pozos	Las Minas	Herrera	?	Absent		
48	La Arena	Las Minas	Herrera	?	Absent		
49	El Calabacito	Macaracas	Herrera	?	Absent		
50	La Mesa	Macaracas	Herrera	?	Absent		
	Western Region						
51	Ponuga	Mariato	Veraguas	Present	Absent		
52	Tebario	Mariato	Veraguas	Present	Absent		
53	Llano de Catival	Mariato	Veraguas	Present	Absent		
54	Malena	Mariato	Veraguas	Present	Absent		
55	La Loma	Mariato	Veraguas	Present	?		

table continued on next page

Table 3. continued from previous page

	Localities	District	Province	A c_trabeata	A g azuerensis
56	Río Varadero	Mariato	Veraguas	Present	?
57	Cerro La Honda	Mariato	Veraguas	Present	+?
58	Río Quebro	Mariato	Veraguas	Present	Present
59	Arenas	Mariato	Veraguas	Present	Present
60	Restingue	Mariato	Veraguas	Present	Present
61	Rio Pavo	Mariato	Veraguas	Present	Absent
62		Mariato	Veraguas	Dresent	Absent
62	Q. MIDA Diava Malana	Mariato	Veraguas	Drosont	Absont
64	Taria Arriba	Mariato	Veraguas	Present	Brosont
65	Changüalas	Mariato	Veraguas	Present	
66		Mariato	Veraguas	Present	+?
67	La Bulla	Mariata	Veraguas	Present	+ 2
0/	El Collezo	Mariata	Veraguas	Present	1.2
08		Мапаю	veaguas	Present	+?
69	Cerro Culon	Mariato	Veraguas	Present	Present
70	Rio Ventana	Mariato	Veraguas	Present	Present
	Southern Region				-
71	Tembladera	Mariato	Veraguas	Present	Present
72	Punta Blanca	Mariato	Veraguas	Present	Present
73	Parque Nacional Cerro Hoya	Mariato	Veraguas	Present	Present
74	Jobero	Tonosí	Los Santos	Present	Present
75	Cambutal	Tonosí	Los Santos	Present	Present
76	Altos de Güera	Tonosí	Los Santos	Present	Present
77	Río Güera	Tonosí	Los Santos	Present	Present
78	Reserva Forestal La Tronosa	Tonosí	Los Santos	Present	Present
79	El Cortezo	Tonosí	Los Santos	Present	Present
80	Quema	Tonosí	Los Santos	Present	Present
81	Guánico	Tonosí	Los Santos	Present	Present
82	Tonosí	Tonosí	Los Santos	Present	Present
83	Río Agua Buena	Tonosí	Los Santos	Present	+?
84	Río Ojo de Agua	Tonosí	Los Santos	Present	+?
85	Río Cigüa	Tonosí	Los Santos	Present	+?
86	Río de Cañas	Tonosi	Los Santos	Present	+?
87	Cacao	Tonosí	Los Santos	Present	Absent
88	Loma La Zahina	Tonosí	Los Santos	Present	Present
89	Cañas	Tonosí	Los Santos	Present	Present
90	Venao	Pedasí	Los Santos	Present	Present
91	Los Pozos	Tonosí	Los Santos	Present	Absent
92	Macaracas	Tonosí	Los Santos	Present	?
93	Las Palmas	Tonosí	Los Santos	Present	?
94	Llano de Piedra	Tonosí	Los Santos	Present	?
95	Mogollón	Tonosí	Los Santos	Present	?
96	Cerro Canaiaguas	Tonosí	Los Santos	Present	
97	Cerro El Vijía	Tonosí	Los Santos	Present	Present
>1	Eastern Region	101031	203 Junt03	1 resont	1105011
98	Valle Rico	L as Tablas	Los Santos	Present	Present
00	La Miel	Las Tablas	Los Santos	Drecent	Drecent
100		Las Tablas	Los Santos	Drecent	Drecent
101	Oria Abaio	Las Tablas	Los Santos	Dresont	Drecont
101	Oueb Divbae	Las Tablas	Los Santos	Dresont	Drecont
102	Queb La Palma	Las Tablas	Los Santos	Drosont	Dresont
103	Queu. La Faillia Día Duria			Present	Dresent
104		Las Tablas	Los Santos	Present	Present
105	Queb. Nuario	Las lablas	Los Santos	Present	Present
106	Finca Dominguez	Las Tablas	Los Santos	Present	Present
107	Finca Pillo González	Las Tablas	Los Santos	Present	Present
108	Los Nopos	Las Tablas	Los Santos	Present	Present
109	El Cacarañal	Las Tablas	Los Santos	Present	Present
110	Macaraquitas	Las Tablas	Los Santos	Present	Present

table continued on next page

Table 3. continued from previous page

	Localities	District	Province	A.c. trabeata	A.g. azuerensis
111	Cerro Quema	Las Tablas	Los Santos	Present	Present
112	La Llanita	Las Tablas	Los Santos	Present	Present
113	Buena Vista	Las Tablas	Los Santos	Present	Present
114	Punta Blanca	Las Tablas	Los Santos	Present	Present
115	El Sesteadero	Pocrí	Los Santos	?	Absent
116	Río Mensabé	Pocrí	Los Santos	?	Absent
117	La Palma	Pocrí	Los Santos	Present	Present
118	Quebrada El Hato	Pocrí	Los Santos	?	?
119	Rio Pocrí	Pocrí	Los Santos	?	?

 Table 4. Total individuals detected of Alouatta coibensis trabeata, Azuero Peninsula, Panama. Confidence Level (95%), mean = 9.6 individuals/groups (3–26) (SD ±3.3).

Region	Total	Total groups	Density ind/km ²	Density groups/km ²	SD±	Area (km²)	Vegetation
Northern (lowland)	261	11	40.4	1.7	9.4	6.46	Patches and living fences
Northern (highland)	37	6	0.5	0.08	3.6	69.83	Secondary forest
Southern	35	11	5.1	1.17	5.5	6.78	Secondary forest
Eastern	76	12	42.6	5.5	2.8	1.82	Gallery forest
Western	43	5	17.2	2	5.5	2.50	Gallery forest
Total	452	45	5.2	0.5	4.8	87.39	

Table 5. Total individuals detected of Ateles geoffroyi azuerensis, Azuero Peninsula, Panama. Confidence Level (95%) mean = 10.2 individuals/groups (4-22) $(SD \pm 1.5)$ *See Table 1, **Calculated by locals and environmental authorities.

Region	Total	Total groups	Density ind./km²	Density groups/km ²	SD±	Area (km²)	Vegetation	
Northern (lowland)	_	_	_	_	_	6.46	Patches and living fences	
Northern (highland)	_	_	_	_	_	69.83	Secondary forest	
Southern	49	4	7	0.6	1.5	6.78	Secondary forest	
Eastern	25	1	13.7	0.5	1.5	1.82	Gallery forest	
Western	50**	_	20	_	_	2.50	Gallery forest	
Total	124	5	1.4	8.4	1.5	87.39	Various*	

40.4 individuals/km², and 1.7 groups/km² (n=5; SD \pm 9.4) for 6.46 km² forest surveyed. This population is not heavily hunted and has no natural predators, and the howlers appear to be overcrowded in the small fragments where they remain, facing, as they do, the difficulties of dispersing over wide expanses of pasture. Azuero spider monkeys were not found in this area.

Northern Region: Herrera Province (highland). The howler population was estimated at 37 individuals in six groups, with an average of 6.1 individuals per group (range 5–12). The six groups averaged 1.83 males (30%), 2.5 females (40%), 1.0 juvenile (16.4%), and 0.8 infants (13.6%). The ratio of adult male/female was 1:1.36, female/juvenile was 1:0.4, and female/infant was 1:0.3. Densities were estimated at 0.52 individuals/km² and 0.08 groups/km² (n=6, SD ±3.6) over an area of 69.83 km² (Table 4). Azuero spider monkeys were not found in this region but interviews assured us of their presence in the past. Locals told us that Azuero spider monkeys were present in the El Montuoso Forest Reserve about 20 years ago, but disappeared later with other animals such as jaguars; eliminated by poachers (Table 5).

Southern Region: Veraguas and Los Santos provinces – howler monkey population. The howler population was estimated to be at least 35 individuals in 11 groups, with an average of four individuals per group (range 1–12; n=7), with 1.6 males (45%), 1.6 females (45%), 0.7 juveniles (2%) and 0.4 infants (1%). Relative densities were 5.1 individuals/km² and 1.17 groups/km² (SD ±5.5 for 6.78 km²). The ratio of adult males to females was 1:1, juveniles/females was 1:0.46, and females/infants 1:0.23. Densities were calculated based on the size of each patch of forest sampled, with 3.4 individuals/km² and 0.94 groups/km² for the area in the southwest (5.28 km²), and 13.3 individuals/km² and 2.6 groups/km² for the population in the southeast (1.5 km²).

Southern Region: Veraguas and Los Santos provinces – spider monkey population. Anecdotal reports from the communities of Ventana and Tembladera (Veraguas) indicated that spider monkeys occasionally traverse the area through the gallery forest and forest fragments close to the coast. They reported that spider monkeys inhabit mostly the upper forested slopes of the mountains, and are scarce in the lowlands. People from Ventana and Tembladera (Veraguas) told us that Azuero spider monkeys normally come down near to human settlements during the rainy season (middle of May to December). For Los Santos province, we found a total of 49 spider monkeys in four isolated groups (mean size = 10.2; range 4-22). The group composition average was 2 males $(SD \pm 1.6; 40\%)$, 2.2 females $(SD \pm 1.5; 44\%)$, 1.8 juveniles $(SD \pm 1.5; 36\%)$ and 1.5 infants $(SD \pm 1.5; 30\%)$ at Venao, La Zahina, Cañas, Flores of Tonosi and Pedasi District (Los Santos). The ratio of adult males to females was 1:1, and the female/infant ratio was 1:1.5 (n=4; 95% confidence). Densities calculated were 7.3 individuals/km² and 0.6 groups/km² in 6.78 km² (Table 5).

Eastern Region: Los Santos Province – howler monkey. Seventy-six howler monkeys were found in an area of 1.82 km^2 . There were 12 groups, and a lone juvenile female. The group composition averaged 1.6 males (21%), 3.8 females (50%), 0.6 juveniles (7.8%) and 1.6 infants (21%), and group size averaged 7.6 individuals (SD ±2.8, n=10, range 3–12). The ratio of males/females was 1:2.3, juveniles/females 1:2.6, and infants/females with 1:0.42. Relative densities for the Eastern Region for Azuero howler monkeys were 42.6 individuals/km² and 5.5 groups/km².

Eastern Region: Los Santos Province – spider monkeys. We found one group composed of three subgroups of Azuero spider monkeys in this region with 25 individuals seen, in the area of La Miel, Las Tablas, and the Tonosi Valley, about 2 km from the town of Flores. Average group size was 12, and subgroup size 6.2, sharing the same area with Azuero howler monkey groups. The density in the three locations was 13.7 individuals/km², 0.5 groups/km², and 2.2 subgroups/km², respectively. Subgroup composition averaged 2.0 adult males, 2.3 adult females, 1.3 juveniles, 1.3 infants (range 3–14, SD \pm 1.5, n=3) for a total area of 1.82 km². These monkeys are indirectly connected between the Río Oria Arriba and Oria Abajo via the La Palma Bridge as far as the forest of Cerro El Montuoso, Las Tablas District.

Western Region: Southern Veraguas Province. We observed 43 Azuero howler monkeys in five groups. The groups averaged 1.5 males (13.9%), 5.2 females (48.8%), 3 juveniles (13.9%) and 2.5 infants (23.2%). The adult male/ female ratio was 1:3.5, female/juvenile 1:0.4, and females/ infant 1:0.5. Densities calculated were 17.2 individuals/km² and 2 groups/km² (n=4; SD ±5.5) in 2.5 km². Spider monkeys were reported by the locals, and around 50 individuals were confirmed for Cerro Hoya National Park, Arenas, Quebro and Restingue including Cerro Culón (Table 5).

Total population of the Azuero howler monkey

We recorded 433 Azuero howler monkeys from 87.39 km² of fragmented forest from 2001 through 2009 (Table 4). Forty-three groups provided an overall density of 5 individuals/km² and 0.5 groups/km² for the entire forested area of the

Azuero Peninsula (n=32, SD ±2.4). Overall, group composition averaged 2.5 adult males, 4.3 adult females, 1.92 juveniles and 1.88 infants. The mean group size was 9.6 (range 3–26). According to the equation, \check{N} =433/(1)0.14, we estimate a total of 3,092 individuals remaining in the wild.

Total population of the Azuero spider monkey

We recorded 74 Azuero spider monkeys, with five groups detected and/or counted directly, and six indirectly (Table 5). There are evidently no spider monkeys remaining in Herrera province, the northern part of the Azuero Peninsula, but remnant and diminished populations survive in the southern (southeastern and southwestern) parts of the peninsula (Méndez-Carvajal and Ruiz-Bernard 2009). The Cerro Hoya National Park is their main stronghold (Rowe 2000; Cuarón et al. 2008), and our efforts were concentrated mostly in remnant forests. We found the Azuero spider monkeys surviving in the remnant patches close to the Cerro Hoya National Park and La Tronosa Forest Reserve, and we also confirmed their presence in the surrounding secondary forest, living fences and forest patches throughout the southeastern part of the peninsula, including the gallery forest and coastal forests (Fig. 1). The spider monkeys were difficult to observe in the wild, but using presence/absence detection we obtained a total of 13 localities where their presence was confirmed; in seven of them we obtained direct counts. Conservatively, we can assume at least one subgroup is present in each of the other six (widely separated and isolated) areas. Adding 50 to the number of individuals recorded in the southeastern gallery forested areas (74 individuals), 124 individuals was the number detected during our surveys. Applying the formula $\beta = 124/(1)0.85$, I estimate a total of 145 Azuero spider monkeys remaining in the wild.

Discussion

Distribution

Cattle pasture and farmland dominate the landscape of the Azuero Peninsula, largely replacing the original forest (Heckadon-Moreno 2001). The most heavily disturbed parts are in central and northern Azuero, with urbanization more widespread and large areas of monoculture crops, besides cattle ranching (Suárez 1981). Despite this, Alouatta c. trabeata, generally scarce by any standards, was found to be widespread and occupied several different habitat types, from sea level to 1500 m. My results show evidence of a significant presence of Azuero howler monkeys and spider monkeys in forest patches with such as Anacardium excelsum, Bursera simaruba, Cecropia spp., Ceiba pentandra, Enterolobium cyclocarpum, Ficus spp., Manguifera indica, Inga vera, Pachira spp., and Spondias mombin (see Table 6); species that are generally conserved by the Azuerense campesinos as living fences on their cattle ranches (Méndez-Carvajal 2008). Previous reports of A. c. trabeata and A. g. azuerensis (Brandaris 1983; Rowe 2000) also found them surviving in extensively deforested areas of cattle ranches and gallery forest.

Alouatta c. trabeata is not restricted to the Cerro Hoya National Park. It would seem, on the other hand, that A. g. azuerensis, no longer occurs in El Montuoso Forest Reserve (EMFR), thought to be an important protected area for this species by Cuarón et al. (2008). The Azuero howler monkey is common in riparian forest and is often sighted moving through gallery forest, living fences and patches of forest of the natural reserves of Azuero (Méndez-Carvajal et al. 2004; Méndez-Carvajal 2005; Méndez-Carvajal and Ruiz-Bernard 2009). The Azuero spider monkey has been extirpated from the El Montuoso Forest Reserve (EMFR) and non-protected areas in Herrera province; it is now found only in the southern part of the peninsula, including the Mariato District (Veraguas province), Cerro Hoya National Park and La Tronosa

Table 6. Common trees species identified for the study areas surveyed and observed to be used by Azuero primates, Azuero Peninsula, Panama. A) Northern Region (I); B) Northern Region (h); C) Southern Region; D) Eastern Region; E) Western Region. Species of trees confirmed, according to Pérez and Deago (2001), Garibaldi *et al.* (2004), and Agustin Somoza in Méndez-Carvajal (2005).

Tree Species	Common name	Α	В	С	D	E
Amaioua corymbosa	Madroño		×			
Anacardium excelsum	Espavé	×		×		×
Apeiba tiborbou	Cortezo		×			
Astronium graveolens	Zorro				×	
Brosimum guianense	Verbá		×			
Bursera simaruba	Carate	×	×	×	×	×
Cassipourea elliptica	Bocaculebra		×			
Cedrela odorata	Cedro amargo				×	
Ceiba pentandra	Ceiba			×		×
Cordia alliodora	Laurel				×	
Dalbergia retusa	Cocobolo				×	
Diphysa robinoides	Macano	×				
Enterolobium cyclocarpum	Corotú	×		×		×
Ficus crocata	Higuerón		×			
Ficus yoponensis	Higuerón	×		×		×
Garcinia intermedia	Madroño	×				
Guazuma ulmifolia	Guácimo	×		×		×
Gustavia superba	Membrillo	×				
Inga vera	Guaba	×		×		×
Jacaranda copaia	Jacaranda	×		×		×
Miconia donaeana	Palo de seno		×			
Myrciaria floribunda	Guayabillo		×			
Ocotea dendrodefne	Sigüa	×				
Pachira quinata	Cedro Espino			×	×	×
Pachira spp.	Yuco de monte			×		×
Platymiscium pinnatum	Quira				×	
Quercus lancifolia	Monterillo		×			
Roupala montana	Carne asada		×			
Simarouba amara	Aceituno		×			
Spondias mombin	Jobo	×		×		×
Tabebuia guayacan	Guayacán amarillo					
Tabebuia rosea	Roble				×	
Ternstroemia tepezapote	Manglillo		×			
Virola sebifera	Fruta dorada		×			
Vochysia ferruginea	Mayo		×			
Xylopia spp.	Malagueto	×				
Zanthoxylum panamense	Tachuelo		×			

Forest Reserve (Los Santos province) (Méndez-Carvajal and Ruiz-Bernard 2009). Deforestation and hunting has severely reduced and fragmented the ranges of *A. c. trabeata* and *A. g. azuerensis* in the region, mainly by eliminating suitable habitat, most particularly along the middle and northern parts of Azuero.

The extirpation of *A. g. azuerensis* from the El Montuoso Forest Reserve shows that its occurrence in a protected area is no guarantee of its survival. The Azuero spider monkey was hunted out by indigenous people and farmers. Hunting pressure seems to be less, however, for *A. c. trabeata*, and the howler monkey is evidently more adaptable than the spider monkeys.

The forests harboring *A. c. trabeata* and the Panamanian white-throated capuchin, *Cebus capucinus imitator*, in the southwestern part of the peninsula are classified as evergreen subtropical lowland and montane forest, but in the southeast semideciduous and largely secondary forest prevail (Garibaldi *et al.* 2004; Pérez and Deago, 2001). Important for these species and for *A. g. azuerensis* in the south is the Cerro Hoya National Park, and the riparian vegetation along the Ventana town border as far as Cambutal, then following the mangroves of the southeastern coast of Azuero mixing with the gallery forest and patches of forest near the coast in the vicinity of Tonosi, Venao, Cañas as far as Pedasi (Fig. 1.).

Population estimates for the Azuero howler and Azuero spider monkey

The population estimates for the howler and spider monkeys from Azuero have changed from previous calculations made in 2008 (Méndez-Carvajal and Ruiz-Bernard 2009). The estimate for the spider monkey has increased slightly, from 117 to 145 individuals still surviving in Azuero. That for the howlers, on the other hand has dropped with an increase in the area covered by the surveys, from 4,214 in 2008 to around 3,092 (Méndez-Carvajal 2008). Not all the locations surveyed had both species; howler monkeys demonstrated better plasticity in deforested zones, as found by Clarke *et al.* (2002) and Baumgarten and Williamson (2007). *Alouatta* does better than *Ateles* in fragmented habitats.

Population densities

Densities of *A. c. trabeata* of 0.52 individuals/km² for the northern highlands and 2.2 individuals/km² for the lowlands are evidently low when compared to estimates in other areas; for example, *A. palliata* in Los Tuxtlas, Mexico, with 23 individuals/km² (Estrada and Coates-Estrada 1996); La Selva, Costa Rica, with 12.2 individuals/km² (Fishkind and Sussman 1987), and Barro Colorado Island, Panama, with 91.7 individuals/km² (Milton 1992). One possible factor in this is that *A. coibensis* has a tendency to live in smaller unimale-multifemale, groups than *A. palliata* elsewhere in Mesoamerica (Méndez-Carvajal and Serio-Silva 2011). The difference in population densities between highland and lowland areas may be related to lower nutrient availability at higher altitudes (600–1,559 m above sea level) where temperatures are lower (Chapman and Balcom 1998). Morales-Jiménez (2002) found differences in group sizes for *Alouatta seniculus* in the Andes, with 3.1 individuals/group in high elevations, and 6.9 individuals/group in the lowlands, similar to our groups. Our survey found no effect of elevation on population density (Spearman rank correlation coefficient 0.045, n=31, p=0.811). For highlands in Azuero (600 m), lower densities of howlers could be caused by hunting; for food in the Sonadora area behind the EMFR (northern region, highland), and also in the Cerro Hoya National Park for traditional medicinal potions, influenced directly by the Ngäbe Buglé indigenous people (Torres de Araúz 1980).

In the eastern region, the densities of *A. c. trabeata* were 42.6 individuals/km², but much lower on the western side of Azuero at 17.2 individuals/km². This could be explained by the connectivity of living fences, widespread on the western side; allowing the monkeys to move more easily through the landscape. Even if howler monkeys adapt well to surviving in disturbed forests (Ferrari 2002), overcrowding with the lack of migration is a problem. The local people on the east side of the Azuero Peninsula are more tolerant and protective of howler monkeys and exploit the forest fragments less for such as charcoal and medicinal products.

Azuero spider monkeys with 1.4 individuals/km² for fragmented habitat suffer more from hunting pressure and the use of the forest patches by people (Méndez-Carvajal and Ruiz-Bernard 2009). Densities in this study were similar to those in other areas where they are hunted; for example, Boca de Cupe, Darien, Panama, with 3.6 individuals/km²; (Moreno-Ruíz 2006), and lower than those recorded from Tikal, Guatemala (*A. g. yucatanensis*) with 24 individuals/km² (Cant 1990). Densities of *A. g. frontatus* have been estimated at 9 individuals/km² in the Santa Rosa Natural Park, in Costa Rica (Freese 1976). Except for the Río Oria and La Miel area, the Azuero spider monkey has been found only as family groups (male, female, juvenile and infants), contrasting with a typical spider monkey sub-grouping system (Carpenter 1935; Aureli and Schaffner 2008).

Group composition: Azuero howler monkey

The Azuero howlers in the lowlands tended to have larger groups. The howlers from the Northern (lowland) community had an average of 23.8 individuals/group (15–39) (Méndez-Carvajal 2005), which is high when compared with the average of the Azuero howler populations elsewhere with 6.1–10.0 individuals/group (3–12) (Méndez-Carvajal *et al.* 2004). Due to the abundance of trees reported as potential resources for howlers in the Azuero area, we could expect them to be more abundant with larger groups in the Northern region (lowland), as was found on Barro Colorado Island (BCI) Panama. Statistical analysis of Azuero howler group structure vs. habitat size was applied in this study using Spearman's test, showing a positive correlation (-4.50, n=31, p=0.011), confirming the hypothesis that groups in the eastern region are a slightly more connected through small patches (linear

shape home-ranges), while the Northern region groups are more isolated (Fig. 1).

Group structure presented differences in the male/female ratio, and was found to be more uni-male than multi-male, contrary to the first report of the Azuero howler monkeys in the Northern region (lowland) (Méndez-Carvajal 2005). The group composition was similar to that found for *A. c. coibensis* on Coiba Island, with 1.8 for males and 2.8 for females (Milton and Mittermeier 1977; Méndez-Carvajal *et al.* 2010b).

Group composition: Azuero spider monkey

The subgroup size average of the Azuero spider monkey, 3.8 individuals/subgroup, is comparable with that found for A. fusciceps rufiventris at 4 individuals/subgroup (Méndez-Carvajal et al. (2010a), and 3.5 individuals/subgroup for Venezuelan A. hybridus (see Cordero-Rodríguez and Biord 2001). Ateles g. azuerensis was found in smaller groups (12 individuals/group) in forest patches in the southern area; La Miel, Flores, Oria and Cañas. Total size of groups of Azuero spider monkeys could be considered low in devastated areas if we compare them with averages found in protected areas such as Calakmul Reserve or Quintana Roo, Mexico (28.5 individuals/group) (Ramos-Fernández et al. 2003; Estrada et al. 2004), Barro Colorado, Panama (24 individuals/group) (Di Fiore and Campbell 2007) and Ateles fusciceps rufiventris from Chucanti-Darien, Panama, with average of 30 individuals/group (Méndez-Carvajal et al. 2010a).

Conservation Status

Deforestation has been the principal threat to these subspecies. Land use in Azuero is agricultural. If the region is well supported economically, based on small, medium or large businesses, subsistence hunting could be minimal. Previous questionnaires given out before the start of this project in 2001 found that monkeys are not a vital resource for Azuero locals (Ruiz-Bernard *et al.* 2010). Environmental education and awareness programs informing the communities of the value of their forests and living fences, and of the ecological role of the primates, could be highly positive for the conservation of the region's primates. It will be important to set up a permanent monitoring program for the two primates and their habitats.

Our informal interviews with the people from the communities revealed two issues of relevance: (1) the lack of any informative material that values the region's fauna and flora, and (2) the lack of interest in protecting wildlife, especially these monkeys which are considered to be little more than crop pests. The Fundación Pro-Conservación de los Primates Panameños (FCPP) has been offering educational talks to elementary schools and colleges to create a basic conservation understanding for the future generations of the Azuero people (Ruiz-Bernard *et al.* 2010). FCPP is still monitoring the groups found in the natural reserves of Azuero.

This long-term survey is a voluntary effort of the author as a pioneer conservation initiative for these two Panamanian endemics under the auspices of the Fundación Pro-Conservación de los Primates Panameños (FCPP). The following institutions supported this project: Primate Conservation Inc., Idea Wild, the Mohamed bin Zayed Species Conservation Fund, Rufford Small Grants Foundation, Florida Museum of Natural History, Ford Motor Company, and Wildfuture. I thank the Panamanian Environmental Authority (ANAM) for providing permission to perform this research and allowing the use of their facilities in some of the study areas on Azuero Peninsula. I am grateful to professors, Simon Bearder, Anna Nekaris and Corri Waitt of Oxford Brookes University for their support and advice. I also thank Ivelisse Ruiz-Bernard, Somaly Silva, Valeria Franco, Glenis De León, Kenia Sánchez, Yarelis González, Jorge Garzón, Robert Duarte and Alejandro Garrido, for assistance in the field, and Guido Berguido for his help with the scientific station in Panama City. Noel Rowe and Russell Mittermeier were generous in supporting this project, and I am grateful to the locals of Azuero Peninsula, especially Didio González, Tacho González, and the Méndez and De León families. My special thanks to Katharine Milton and Anthony Rylands, for their help in reviewing and editing the article, and for their technical support. This research complied with protocols approved by the guidelines (University Code of Practice on Ethical Standards for Research Involving Human Participants) of the School Research Ethics Office, Oxford Brookes University, United Kingdom, and also with the legal requirements of the Republic of Panama.

Literature Cited

- Aldrich, B. C., L. Molleson and K. A. I. Nekaris. 2006. Vocalizations as a conservation tool: an auditory survey of the Andean titi monkey *Callicebus oenanthe* Thomas, 1924 (Mammalia: Primates: Pitheciidae) at Tarangue, northern Peru. *Contrib. Zool.* 77: 1–6.
- Arcia, D., A. Caballero and J. García. 2004. Medio Físico de la Reserva Forestal El Montuoso In: Diversidad Biológica y Servicios Ambientales de los Fragmentos de Bosques en la Reserva Forestal El Montuoso, C. Garibaldi (ed.), pp.21–27. Universal Books, Panamá.
- Aureli, F. and C. M. Schaffner. 2008. Social interactions, social relationships and the social system of spider monkeys. In: *Spider Monkeys: Behaviour, Ecology and Evolution of the Genus* Ateles, C. J. Campbell (ed.), pp.236– 265. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK.
- Baumgarten, A. and Williamson, B. G. 2007. The distributions of howling monkeys (*Alouatta pigra* and *A. palliata*) in southeastern Mexico and Central America. *Primates* 48: 310–315.
- Brandaris, M. C. E. 1983. Estrategia de las Adaptaciones Ecológicas de Grupos de Aulladores (*Alouatta palliata*

trabeata) en un Hábitat Reducido de Vegetación Escasa. Tesis de Licenciatura, Universidad de Panamá, Panamá.

- Brockelman, W. and R. Ali. 1987. Methods for surveying and sampling forest primate populations. In: *Primate Conservation in the Tropical Rain Forest*, C. W. Marsh and R. A. Mittermeier (eds.), pp.23–62. Alan R. Liss, New York.
- Campbell, C. J. and K. N. Gibson. 2008. Spider monkey reproduction and social behavior, In: *Spider Monkeys: Behavior, Ecology and Evolution of the Genus* Ateles, C. J. Campbell (ed.), pp.266–287. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK.
- Cant, J. G. H. 1990. Feeding ecology of spider monkeys (*Ateles geoffroyi*) at Tikal, Guatemala. *Hum. Evol.* 5: 269–281.
- Carpenter, C.R. 1935. Behaviour of red spider monkeys in Panama. J. Mammal. 16: 171–180.
- Carvalho-Oliveira, L., E. M. Câmara, A. Hirsch, O. Paschoal, R. Martins-Alvarenga and M. G. Belarmino. 2003. *Callithrix geoffroyi* (Primates: Calitrichidae) and *Alouatta caraya* (Primates: Atelidae) in the Serra do Cipó National Park, Minas Gerais, Brasil. *Neotrop. Primates* 11: 86–89.
- Chapman, C. A., M. J. Lawes, L. Naughton-Treves and T. Gillespie. 1988. Primate survival in community-owned forest fragments: are metapopulation models useful amidst intensive use? In: *Primates in Fragments: Ecology and Conservation*, L. Marsh (ed.), pp.63–78. Plenum Press, New York.
- Chapman, C. A. and S. R. Balcom. 1998. Population characteristics of howlers: ecological conditions or group history. *Int. J. Primatol.* 19: 385–403.
- Clarke, M. R., D. A. Collins and E. L. Zucker. 2002. Responses to deforestation in a group of mantles howlers (*Alouatta palliata*) in Costa Rica. *Int. J. Primatol.* 23: 365–381.
- Cordero-Rodríguez, G. A. and H. J. Biord. 2001. Distribution and conservation of the spider monkey (*Ateles hybridus*) in the coastal range of North Venezuela. *Neotrop. Primates* 9(1): 8–11.
- Cuarón, A. D., A. Morales, A. Shedden, E. Rodríguez-Luna and P. C. de Grammont. 2008. Ateles geoffroyi ssp. azuerensis. In: IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. Version 2009.1. Website: <www.iucnredlist.org>. Accessed: 2 November 2009.
- Di Fiore, A. and C. Campbell. 2007. The atelines: variation in ecology, behavior, and social organization. In: *Primates in Perspective*, C. J. Campbell, K. C. Mackinnon, M. Panger, and S. K. Bearder (eds.), pp.155–175. Oxford University Press, Oxford.
- Eisenberg, J. F. 1979. Habitat economy and society: some correlations and hypothesis for the Neotropical primates. In: *Primate Ecology and Human Origins*, I. S. Bernstein and E. O. Smith (eds.), pp.215–262. Garland, New York.
- Estrada, A. and R. Coates-Estrada. 1996. Tropical rainforest fragmentation and wild population of primates at Los Tuxtlas. *Int. J. Primatol.* 5: 759–783.
- Estrada, A., Van-Belle, S. and García del Valle, Y. 2004. A survey of black howler (*Alouatta pigra*) and spider

(*Ateles geoffroyi*) monkeys along the Río Lacantún, Chiapas, Mexico. *Neotrop. Primates* 12(2): 70–75.

- Ferrari, S. F. 2002. Multiple transects or multiple walks? A response to Magnusson (2001). *Neotrop. Primates* 10: 131–132.
- Freese, C. 1976. Censusing Alouatta palliata, Ateles geoffroyi and Cebus capucinus in the Costa Rican dry forest In: Neotropical Primates: Field Studies and Conservation, R. W. Thorington Jr. and P. G. Heltne (eds.), pp.4–9. National Academy of Sciences, Washington, DC.
- Fishkind, A. S. and R. W. Sussman. 1987. Preliminary survey of the primates of the Zona Protectora and La Selva Biological Station, northeast Costa Rica. *Primate Conserv.* (8): 63–66.
- Froehlich, J. W. and P. H. Froehlich. 1987. The status of Panama's endemic howling monkeys. *Primate Conserv.* (8): 58–62.
- Garibaldi, C., S. Aguilar, D. Arcia and N. Torres, N. 2004. La vegetación arbórea en los bosques fragmentados de la reserva forestal El Montuoso. In: *Diversidad Biológica* y Servicios Ambientales de los Fragmentos de Bosques en la Reserva Forestal El Montuoso, C. Garibaldi (ed.), pp.39–63. Universal Books, Panamá.
- Godfray, H. C. J. and M. J. Crawley. 2004. Introduction. In: *Conservation Science and Action*, W. J. Sutherland, pp.39–65. Blackwell Publishing, Oxford.
- González, D. E. 2002. Estado Actual de la Información Forestal de Panamá. Consultoría
- Organización de las Naciones Unidas para la Agricultura y la Alimentación (FAO), Rome.
- Heckadon-Moreno, S. (ed.). 2001. *Panamá, Puente Biológico*. Instituto Smithsonian de Investigaciones Tropicales, República de Panamá.
- Jacobson, S. K., M. D. McDuff and M. C. Monroe. 2006. Conservation Education and Outreach Techniques. Oxford University Press, Oxford, UK.
- Méndez-Carvajal, P. G. 2001. Distribución y estado actual de las poblaciones del mono aullador de Azuero (Alouatta coibensis trabeata) en Herrera-Panamá. Congreso de Primates del Nuevo Mundo, p.87. Bogotá, Colombia, 13–15 June 2001. Abstract.
- Méndez-Carvajal, P. G., E. Santamaría and C. Garibaldi. 2004.
 Riqueza y diversidad de mamíferos silvestres en los remanentes de bosques de la Reserva Forestal El Montuoso. In: Diversidad Biológica y Servicios Ambientales de los Fragmentos de Bosques en la Reserva Forestal El Montuoso, Panamá, Garibaldi, C. (ed.). pp.161–171.
 Universal Books, Panamá, República de Panamá.
- Méndez-Carvajal, P. G. 2005. Population study of Azuero howler monkey (*Alouatta palliata. trabeata*), Herrera, Republic of Panama. *Neotrop. Primates* 13: 1–6.
- Méndez-Carvajal, P. G. 2008. Living fences: A farmer strategy that keeps the Azuero primates surviving in fragmented habitats. *Canopy* 6(2): 9–11.
- Méndez-Carvajal, P. G. and I. Ruiz-Bernard. 2009. Estudio poblacional del mono araña de Azuero (*Ateles geoffroyi*

azuerensis), Península de Azuero, Panamá. *Tecnociencia* 11(1): 29–44.

- Méndez-Carvajal, P. G. and J. C. Serio-Silva. 2011. Daybreak chorus and bark analysis for two species of howler monkeys *Alouatta coibensis* and *Alouatta palliata*: Atelidae, in Republic of Panama. In: *Perspectivas en Primatología Mexicana*, L. M. Gama-Campillo, G. Pozo-Montuy, W. M. Contreras-Sánchez, and S. L. Arriaga-Weiss (eds.), pp.167–184. Universidad Juárez Autónoma de Tabasco, México.
- Méndez-Carvajal, P. G., I. Ruiz-Bernard, G. Berguido and R. Aizprúa. 2010a. Estudio poblacional de primates en la Reserva Chucantí, Provincia del Darién, República de Panamá. Congreso Mesoamericano de Biología y Conservación, p.48. San José, Costa Rica, 8–12 November 2010. Abstract.
- Méndez-Carvajal, P. G., I. Ochoa de Pérez and I. Ruiz-Bernard. 2010b. Estudio poblacional del mono aullador de Coiba (*Alouatta coibensis coibensis*) y mono cariblanco (*Cebus capucinus imitator*), Parque Nacional Coiba, República de Panamá. Congreso Mesoamericano de Biología y Conservación, p. 163–164. San José, Costa Rica, 8–12 November 2010. Abstract.
- Milton, K. 1992. Calidad dietética y regulación demográfica de una población de monos aulladores *Alouatta palliata*.
 In: *Ecología de un Bosque Tropical*, G. Leigh Jr., A. S. Rand and D. M. Windsor (eds.), pp.357–373. Smithsonian Tropical Research Institute, Balboa, República de Panamá.
- Milton, K. and R. A. Mittermeier. 1977. A brief survey of the primates of Coiba Island, Panama. *Primates* 18: 931–936.
- Morales-Jiménez, A. L. 2002. Densidad de los monos aulladores (*Alouatta seniculus*) en un bosque subandino, Risaralda, Colombia. *Neotrop. Primates* 10: 141–144.
- Moreno-Ruíz, R. S. 2006. Parámetros Poblacionales y Aspectos Ecológicos de los Felinos y sus presas en Cana, Parque Nacional Darién, Panamá. Tesis de Maestría, Universidad Nacional, Heredia, Costa Rica.
- Navas, N., V. Eyda and B. H. Cedeño. 2001. Estadística de Panamá, Dirección de Estadística y Meteorológica, 1998–1999 Censo. Panamá, República de Panamá.
- Nichols, J. D. and M. J. Conroy. 1996. Techniques for estimating abundance and species richness, In: *Measuring* and Monitoring Biological Diversity, Standard Methods for Mammals, D. E. Wilson, F. R. Cole, J. D. Nichols, R. Rudran and M. S. Foster (eds.), pp.177–233. Smithsonian Institution Press, Washington, DC.
- Pérez, R. and J. Deago. 2001. *Flora Arbórea del Bosque Seco de los Terrenos del Laboratorio Achotines en la Provincia de Los Santos, Panamá*. Center of Tropical Forest Science of Smithsonian Tropical Research Institute, República de Panamá.
- Rabinowitz, A. 2003. *Manual de Capacitación para la Investigación de Campo y la Conservación de la Vida Silvestre*. Wildlife Conservation Society, New York.

- Ramos-Fernández, G., L. G. Vick, F. Aureli, C. Schaffner and D. M. Tabú. 2003. Behavioral ecology and conservation status of spider monkeys in the Otoch Ma'ax Yetel Kooh protected area. *Neotrop. Primates* 11: 155–158.
- Rowe, N. 2000. Records of howlers (*Alouatta*) on the Azuero Peninsula and Canal Zone of Panama. *Neotrop. Primates* 8: 154–156.
- Rudran, R., T. Kunz, C. Southwell, P. Jarman and P. Smith. 1996. Observational techniques for nonvolant mammals, In: *Measuring and Monitoring Biological Diversity, Standard Methods for Mammals*, D. E. Wilson, F. R. Cole, J. D. Nichols, R. Rudran and M. S. Foster (eds.), pp.81–114. Smithsonian Institution Press, Washington, DC.
- Ruiz-Bernard, I., Y. González, G. De León, and P. G. Méndez-Carvajal. 2010. Integración de programas de educación ambiental en proyectos de conservación de primates. *Congreso Mesoamericano de Biología y Conservación*, p.118. San José, Costa Rica, 8–12 November 2010. Abstract.
- Salazar-Allen, N. 2001. Los briofitos o musgos de los bosques nubosos. In: *Panamá, Puente Biológico*, S. Heckadon-Moreno (ed.), pp. 232. Instituto Smithsonian de Investigaciones Tropicales, República de Panamá.
- Suárez, J. O. 1981. Hombres y Ecología en Panamá. Universitaria, Instituto Smithsonian Smithsonian de Investigaciones Tropicales, Panamá.
- Torres de Araúz, R. 1980. *Panamá Indígena (Guaymí)*. Instituto Nacional de Cultura. Patrimonio Histórico, Panamá.

Author's address:

Pedro G. Méndez-Carvajal, Department of Anthropology, School of Social Science and Law, Oxford Brookes University, Oxford, UK, and Fundación Pro-Conservación de los Primates Panameños (FCPP), República de Panamá. E-mail: <fcprimatespanama@gmail.com>

Received for publication: 10 May 2011 Revised: 26 June 2011