

The Journal of Threatened Taxa (JoTT) is dedicated to building evidence for conservation globally by publishing peer-reviewed articles online every month at a reasonably rapid rate at www.threatenedtaxa.org. All articles published in JoTT are registered under Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License unless otherwise mentioned. JoTT allows allows unrestricted use, reproduction, and distribution of articles in any medium by providing adequate credit to the author(s) and the source of publication.

# Journal of Threatened Taxa

Building evidence for conservation globally

www.threatenedtaxa.org ISSN 0974-7907 (Online) | ISSN 0974-7893 (Print)

# COMMUNICATION

AVITOURISM OPPORTUNITIES AS A CONTRIBUTION TO CONSERVATION AND RURAL LIVELIHOODS IN THE HINDU KUSH HIMALAYA - A FIELD PERSPECTIVE

Nishikant Gupta, Mark Everard, Ishaan Kochhar & Vinod Kumar Belwal

26 August 2019 | Vol. 11 | No. 10 | Pages: 14318–14327 DOI: 10.11609/jott.4911.11.10.14318-14327





For Focus, Scope, Aims, Policies, and Guidelines visit https://threatenedtaxa.org/index.php/JoTT/about/editorialPolicies#custom-0 For Article Submission Guidelines, visit https://threatenedtaxa.org/index.php/JoTT/about/submissions#onlineSubmissions For Policies against Scientific Misconduct, visit https://threatenedtaxa.org/index.php/JoTT/about/editorialPolicies#custom-2 For reprints, contact <ravi@threatenedtaxa.org>

The opinions expressed by the authors do not reflect the views of the Journal of Threatened Taxa, Wildlife Information Liaison Development Society, Zoo Outreach Organization, or any of the partners. The journal, the publisher, the host, and the partners are not responsible for the accuracy of the political boundaries shown in the maps by the authors.

# Partner عندوق محمد بن زاید للمحافظة علی Beches Conservation Layed

Member



Publisher & Host



# AVITOURISM OPPORTUNITIES AS A CONTRIBUTION TO CONSERVATION AND RURAL LIVELIHOODS IN THE HINDU KUSH HIMALAYA - A FIELD PERSPECTIVE

Nishikant Gupta<sup>1</sup>, Mark Everard<sup>2</sup>, Ishaan Kochhar<sup>3</sup>, Kinod Kumar Belwal<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup> International Centre for Integrated Mountain Development (ICIMOD), Post Box #3226, Kathmandu, Nepal. <sup>2</sup> University of the West of England (UWE), Coldharbour Lane, Bristol BS16 1QY, UK. <sup>3</sup> Shaping Spaces Consultants Private Limited, Sector 23 B, Dwarka, New Delhi 110077, India. <sup>4</sup> Balyuli, Sankar, Marchula, Almora, Uttarakhand 244715, India. <sup>1</sup> nishikantgupta@live.in (corresponding author), <sup>2</sup> Mark.Everard@uwe.ac.uk, <sup>3</sup> ishaankochhar440@gmail.com, <sup>4</sup> vinodbelwal1986@gmail.com

**Abstract:** The Hindu Kush Himalaya is a biodiversity hotspot subject to multiple anthropogenic stressors, including hydropower plants, pollution, deforestation and wildlife poaching, in addition to changing climate. Bird photography tourism, as a locally important element of avitourism, has the potential to integrate sustainable development and wildlife conservation. We conducted field surveys around the reaches of four Indian Himalayan rivers—the Kosi, western Ramganga, Khoh, and Song—outside of protected national parks (the Corbett and Rajaji tiger reserves) to ascertain the distribution of bird species along river corridors that could be sites of avitourism. Species richness along the surveyed reaches were: Kosi (79), western Ramganga (91), Khoh (52), and Song (79). This study contributes critical data to the existing baseline information on the avifaunal species of Uttarakhand. It further discusses the possibility of developing avitourism for knowledge generation on species distribution and innovative livelihood options for local communities in Uttarakhand, reinforcing local vested interest in bird conservation. The findings have generic applicability worldwide.

Keywords: Birds, community-led conservation, eco-tourism, India, Khoh, Kosi, Song, Uttarakhand, western Ramganga.

**DOI:** https://doi.org/10.11609/jott.4911.11.10.14318-14327

Editor: Sara M. Kross, Columbia University, New York, USA.

Manuscript details: #4911 | Received 21 February 2019 | Final received 01 August 2019 | Finally accepted 11 August 2019

Citation: Gupta, N., M. Everard, I. Kochhar & V.K. Belwal (2019). Avitourism opportunities as a contribution to conservation and rural livelihoods in the Hindu Kush Himalaya - a field perspective. *Journal of Threatened Taxa* 11(10): 14318–14327. https://doi.org/10.11609/jott.4911.11.10.14318-14327

**Copyright:** © Gupta et al. 2019. Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. JoTT allows unrestricted use, reproduction, and distribution of this article in any medium by adequate credit to the author(s) and the source of publication.

Funding: The Rufford Foundation (Grant no: 24456-1).

Competing interests: The authors declare no competing interests.

Author details: NISHIKANT GUPTA is a aquatic ecologist currently working at ICIMOD, Kathmandu, Nepal. MARK EVERARD is a Associate Professor at UWE-Bristol, UK. ISHAAN KOCHHAR is at Shaping Spaces Consultants Private Limited, New Delhi. VINOD K. BELWAL is a field assisant residing in Uttarakhand.

Author contribution: NG designed the work, conducted the fieldwork, analysed the data, and wrote the paper. ME assisted with the writing of the paper. IK assisted with the images in the paper. VKB assisted with the data collection.

Acknowledgements: This project is supported by The Rufford Foundation (Grant no: 24456-1). The authors are sincerely grateful to the local community members who voluntarily participated in the semi-structured interviews and focus group discussions. The views and interpretations in this publication are those of the author's and they are not necessarily attributable to their organizations.





ISSN 0974-7907 (Online) ISSN 0974-7893 (Print)

PLATINUM OPEN ACCESS



Date of publication: 26 August 2019 (online & print)

# INTRODUCTION

Species conservation in the Hindu Kush Himalaya (HKH henceforth) has often focused on megafauna. The Bengal Tiger Panthera tigris tigris, Snow Leopard Panthera uncia, Indian Elephant Elephas maximus indicus, the Greater One-horned Rhinoceros Rhinoceros unicornis, and Red Panda Ailurus fulgens are afforded the highest judicial protection, and are the prime recipients of conservation grants. Very little current information is available regarding the distribution in the HKH of previously reported species of birds (based on observations in the wild, visual signs, discussion with communities, unconfirmed reports). It is, however, reasonable to infer that pressures arising from increasing anthropogenic stressors (e.g., hydropower plants, pollution, deforestation, poaching) and changing climatic variables continue to have potentially significant impacts on multiple avian species (INCCA 2010; Shrestha et al. 2015; Alfthan et al. 2018). It is, therefore, critical that information regarding the distribution of birds is made a priority as they play important and diverse roles in the structure, functions and ecosystem services of food webs (Sekercioglu et al. 2004).

Environmental indicators serve important roles in conservation responses and land-use management by constituting simplified summaries synthesising multiple datasets or specific pieces of information within complex systems (Jackson et al. 2000). Birds are perceived as useful environmental quality indicators owing to their conspicuousness and mobility, the scale at which they utilise landscapes, as well as their diversity, roles in food webs, integrated responses to multiple pressures, association with specific habitat types and public appeal (Gregory et al. 2004, 2005). Bird indicators, therefore, provide valuable tools for assessing ecosystem health including in wetland and freshwater systems. One such indicator has been developed for this purpose in Britain (Everard & Noble 2010).

Avitourism, where birdwatching is the primary motivation of a trip, has gained momentum as a niche of nature-based tourism products for birders, conservationists and photographers alike (Connell 2009; Cordell & Herbert 2002). This industry is experiencing a paradigm shift from historically localised birdwatching into a global market, in part due to the increasing affordability of travel (Steven et al. 2014). The avitourists are usually well-off and passionate people willing to travel greater distance just to see endemic and/or endangered species, a significant aspect of societal valuation of species diversity (Sekercioglu 2002).

Avitourism is consequently a rapidly expanding activity, especially in developing countries with high biodiversity. The passion and enthusiasm associated with this recreational pastime have ensured that birders travel to remote locations, bringing along with them livelihood opportunities for local people. There have been previous assessments on the potential development of avitourism globally (Steven et al. 2014). The potential impacts of birdwatching (Biggs et al. 2011; Puhakka et al. 2011), birdwatching destinations, birdwatching festivals, migration events, and their impacts on local people have been examined (Lawton 2009). The social perspective surrounding the activity (Cordell & Herbert 2002; Eubanks et al. 2004; Connell 2009), along with bird species fed artificially for tourism attraction (Jones 2011), have also been studied. As avitourism has huge potential to achieve win-win outcomes for local communities and the objectives of protected area managers, there is a need to establish locally specific interlinkages between birdwatching ecotourism, environmental conservation and economic co-benefits (Vas 2013).

The HKH is the world's most densely populated mountain range (Alfthan et al. 2018), but one that is subject to numerous anthropogenic threats. By the 2050s, temperatures across the region are projected to increase by about 1–2 °C, the monsoon is expected to become longer/more erratic, precipitation is projected to change by 5% on average, and the intensity of extreme rainfall events is likely to increase (Shrestha et al. 2015; Alfthan et al. 2018). These climatic factors are likely to have an adverse impact on the bird habitats across the region (Alfthan et al. 2018).

The HKH region is home to a rich assortment of avifaunal species, and many of these have established an important position in the psyche of local communities through cultural, traditional and religious associations (Singh et al. 2017). It is, therefore, important to involve the public, the private sector, and the government around common interests, which may enable cocreation of solutions to counteract the decline of bird populations, particularly for lesser known avian species (Hausmann et al. 2017; Watts 2018). Nonetheless, it is important to note that unsustainable development, unregulated tourism, and unnecessary feeding may have negative impacts on native bird species.

This study focuses on the current distribution of birds along four river corridors—the Kosi, western Ramganga (henceforth Ramganga River), Khoh, and Song—in Uttarakhand State of the Indian Himalayan region, focusing on river reaches outside protected areas (i.e., the core areas of Corbett and Rajaji Tiger Reserves). It proceeds to discuss possible management responses to promote immediate protection and longterm conservation of birds in the region, including promotion of bird photography tourism as a potential livelihood option for local communities. Focus group discussions (FGDs) were undertaken to understand any ongoing avitourism efforts and livelihood benefits that occur here.

## METHODS

# Study area

The field survey of river corridor birds focused on the state of Uttarakhand (30.0668° N, 79.0193° E), lying within the western region of the Indian Himalayan biodiversity hotspot (Gupta et al. 2015). Key characteristics of the four surveyed rivers, described in greater detail by Gupta et al. (2015), are outlined in Table 1 and illustrated in Fig. 1.

Members of communities in this region that engage in aspects of ecotourism provide multiple services such as tour guides, accommodation and food, transportation, and other necessary infrastructure for incoming tourists (Nishikant Gupta, pers. obs. 2010–2019).

Field surveys were conducted by teams of three surveyors, travelling on foot along the banks of each of the four rivers to collect direct and indirect evidence of the presence of birds. Fieldwork was conducted pre- and post-monsoon, and in the winter months of 2018 and 2019. GPS locations of indirect and direct signs were recorded. Avian species were recorded at 20 independent sites using the line transect method (Chettri et al. 2005). Transect locations were selected based on the presence of bird signs (e.g., nesting sites, droppings). Surveyors walked in a transect parallel to the river, recording evidence of birds (seen by naked eye or with 10x50 binoculars or heard) in 500m sections. Each observation session lasted 60-90 minutes, each transect was surveyed three separate times, and the points travelled (and therefore sampled) were in a specific order, i.e., along the downstream of a particular river stretch. Where possible, birds were photographed. Standard published literature was used to identify bird species. Avifaunal surveys were limited by the dynamic and potentially hazardous nature of some study sites, and occurrence and detection of some bird species were constrained by season and time of the day due to variation in activity levels and behaviour among species (Bashir et al. 2012).



Figure 1. The study area and sampling stations. Each river stretch is labelled in blue, with each transect location spread across at every 500m.

It is important to note that the bird counts may be slightly different during this study, compared to the times that local avitourism operators would take birdwatchers out to look for birds. This could result in the authors missing some of the abundance and diversity of birds at peak dawn and evening hours as we avoided these hours for safety reasons.

# RESULTS

The overall avian species richness from the four rivers was 136 (Table 2). Across the individual rivers, the species richness were as follows: Kosi = 79 species, western Ramganga = 91 species, Khoh = 52 species, and Song = 79 species. The data of avian species recorded (overall and from individual rivers) were visualised as a heat map, where the x-axis represented bird population trend, and the y-axis the IUCN Red List Status of Threatened Species. The bars represent the count

# Gupta et al.

of IUCN Red List Status and corresponding population trend (Figures 2 and 3). Of the overall avian species richness (N=136), 89% were seen (detected visually from river banks) within navigable distances from the villages and tourist-access points, characteristics that could make them the most suitable for avitourism and more general ecotourism in the area. In addition, 75% of the species could be photographed by surveyors in this study, adding extra potential avitourism value.

## DISCUSSION

Avitourism research is significantly skewed towards the northern hemisphere, and North America in particular, as bird-related activities have constituted significant leisure activities among North Americans over the past century (Connell 2009). Mexico and Colombia, which collectively play host to over 1,900 bird species, are the topmost destinations for US birdwatchers,

#### Table 1. Key descriptors of the four surveyed rivers in Uttarakhand.

River	Source and additional notes	Number of transect	Avian species richness (N)
Kosi	Budha Peenath Village in the Kausani area of Almora district, Uttarakhand. The Kosi is an important tributary of the Ramganga River	20	79
Western Ramganga	Shivalik Himalaya at Dudhatoli in Chamoli district, Uttarakhand. The Ramganga is an important tributary of the Ganges River	20	91
Khoh	Langur in Dwarikhal, Uttarakhand. The Khoh is a tributary of the Ramganga	20	52
Song	Spring-fed stream in the southern slopes of the Mussoorie ridge of the Himalayan range. The Song is a tributary of the Suswa River, which in turn is a tributary of the Ganges	20	79



Figure 2. Avian species recorded from all the study sites (the x-axis represents the population trend, the y-axis the IUCN Red List Status, and the bars provide the IUCN Red List Status and their corresponding population trend).



Figure 3. Avian species recorded from the individual rivers (the x-axis represents the population trend, the y-axis the IUCN Red List Status, and the bars provide the IUCN Red List Status and their corresponding population trend). The unit here is the number of species rather than count of individuals.

followed by Venezuela, Costa Rica and Panama (Maldonado et al. 2018). Many countries have thriving bird watching societies, which promote and sponsor trips to destinations where there is an abundance of bird life (Serkercioglu 2003). The socio-economic, ecological, gender and governance dimensions of the birdwatching tourism have been recorded previously (Callaghan et al. 2017). The values that people ascribe to rare or infrequently encountered species, however, have been studied to a lesser extent (Booth et al. 2011).

Avitourism is an important tool with the potential to influence the psyche of local, rural communities and individuals towards a bird species. And it is important that these local communities continue to sustainably work towards increasing the experience of tourists visiting the area. This is because what attracts the birders' 'gaze' and explains the rationale behind their long-distance travel decisions are abundant bird species and good ecological conditions. In addition, reasonable cost, good hospitality of local villagers, and easy approval procedures for entry into birdwatching areas are also important determinants.

Focus group discussions (FGDs) undertaken with village members (N=126), ornithologists (N=5), conservationists (N=10) and bird guides (N=15) in the surveyed areas of Uttarakhand (Nishikant Gupta, per. obs. 2019) revealed that a total of 76% of local households (N=156; 15-65 years; 125 males, 31 females) showed interest in participation in one or more forms of avitourism services if they strengthened livelihood opportunities (when asked regarding the potential applicability of avitourism in their area). Respondents were informed that it is essential to: (a) understand the ecological impacts of feeding to attract birds for tourists, (b) promote organic farming in order to minimise the use of pesticides and fertilisers, (c) regulate the tourist conduct, (d) enhance local environments, and (e) improve the services provided by homestay entrepreneurs (accommodation providers). Ninety-six percent of the respondents revealed that, if sustainably managed, the tourism revenue generated through this activity could protect critical species, economically help the local communities, and potentially lessen the outmigration of men from rural to urban areas seeking

Gupta et al.

## Gupta et al.

Table 2. Avian species recorded from all the study sites in alphabetical order.

	Family	Order	Common name	Scientific name	IUCN Red List Status <sup>#</sup>	Population trend <sup>#</sup>
1	Cisticolidae	Passeriformes	Ashy Prinia	Prinia socialis	LC	Stable
2	Meropidae	Coraciiformes	Asian Green Bee-eater	Merops orientalis		Increasing
3	Ploceidae	Passeriformes	Baya Weaver	Ploceus philippinus		Stable
4	Pycnonotidae		Black Bulbul	Hypsipetes leucocephalus		
5	Timaliidae		Black-chinned Babbler	Cyanoderma pyrrhops		
6	Dicruridae		Black Drongo	Dicrurus macrocercus		Unknown
7	Accipitridae	Accipitriformes	Black Kite	Milvus migrans		
8	Paridae	Passeriformes	Black-lored Tit	Machlolophus xanthogenys		Stable
9	Muscicapidae		Black Redstart	Phoenicurus ochruros		Increasing
10	Accipitridae	Accipitriformes	Black-shouldered Kite	Elanus caeruleus		Stable
11	Recurvirostridae	Charadriiformes	Black-winged Stilt	Himantopus himantopus		Increasing
12	Muscicapidae	Passeriformes	Blue Rock-thrush	Monticola solitarius		Stable
13	Megalaimidae	Piciformes	Blue-throated Barbet	Psilopogon asiaticus		
14	Muscicapidae	Passeriformes	Blue-throated Blue-flycatcher	Cyornis rubeculoides		
15			Blue Whistling-thrush	Myophonus caeruleus		Unknown
16	Sturnidae		Brahminy Starling	Sturnia pagodarum		
17	Cinclidae		Brown Dipper	Cinclus pallasii		Stable
18	Strigidae	Strigiformes	Brown Fish-owl	Ketupa zeylonensis		Decreasing
19	Muscicapidae	Passeriformes	Brown Rockchat	Oenanthe fusca		Stable
20	Ardeidae	Pelecaniformes	Cattle Egret	Bubulcus ibis		Increasing
21	Accipitridae	Accipitriformes	Changeable Hawk-eagle	Nisaetus cirrhatus		Decreasing
22	Sittidae	Passeriformes	Chestnut-bellied Nuthatch	Sitta cinnamoventris		Unknown
23	Meropidae	Coraciiformes	Chestnut-headed Bee-eater	Merops leschenaulti		Increasing
24	Passeridae	Passeriformes	Chestnut-shouldered Bush- sparrow	Gymnoris xanthocollis		Stable
25	Sturnidae		Chestnut-tailed Starling	Sturnia malabarica		Unknown
26	Hirundinidae		Collared Sand Martin	Riparia riparia		Decreasing
27	Leiotrichidae		Common Babbler	Argya caudata		Stable
28	Upupidae	Bucerotiformes	Common Hoopoe	Upupa epops		Decreasing
29	Aegithinidae	Passeriformes	Common Iora	Aegithina tiphia		Unknown
30	Alcedinidae	Coraciiformes	Common Kingfisher	Alcedo atthis		
31	Sturnidae	Passeriformes	Common Myna	Acridotheres tristis		Increasing
32	Scolopacidae	Charadriiformes	Common Sandpiper	Actitis hypoleucos		Decreasing
33	Muscicapidae	Passeriformes	Common Stonechat	Saxicola torquatus		Stable
34	Cisticolidae		Common Tailorbird	Orthotomus sutorius		
35	Megalaimidae	Piciformes	Coppersmith Barbet	Psilopogon haemacephalus		Increasing
36	Emberizidae	Passeriformes	Crested Bunting	Emberiza lathami		Stable
37	Alcedinidae	Coraciiformes	Crested Kingfisher	Megaceryle lugubris		Decreasing
38	Accipitridae	Accipitriformes	Crested Serpent-eagle	Spilornis cheela		Stable
39	Hemiprocnidae	Caprimulgiformes	Crested Treeswift	Hemiprocne coronata		
40	Nectariniidae	Passeriformes	Crimson Sunbird	Aethopyga siparaja		
41	Columbidae	Columbiformes	Eastern Spotted Dove	Spilopelia chinensis		Increasing
42	Columbidae		Eurasian Collared-dove	Streptopelia decaocto		
43	Picidae	Piciformes	Fulvous-breasted Woodpecker	Dendrocopos macei		Stable

# Gupta et al.

	Family	Order	Common name	Scientific name	IUCN Red List Status <sup>#</sup>	Population trend <sup>#</sup>
44	Megalaimidae		Great Barbet	Psilopogon virens		
45	Phalacrocoracidae	Suliformes	Great Cormorant	Phalacrocorax carbo		Increasing
46	Ardeidae	Pelecaniformes	Great Egret	Ardea alba		Unknown
47	Paridae	Passeriformes	Great Tit	Parus major		
48	Cuculidae	Cuculiformes	Greater Coucal	Centropus sinensis		Stable
49	Picidae	Piciformes	Greater Yellownape	Chrysophleama flavinucha		
50	Nectariniidae	Passeriformes	Green-tailed Sunbird	Aethonyaa ninalensis		
51	Cisticolidae		Grev-breasted Prinia	Prinia hodasonii		
52	Columbidae	Columbiformes	Grey-canned Emerald Dove	Chalconhans indica		Decreasing
53	Picidae	Piciformes	Grey-capped Woodnecker	Picoides canicanillus		Stable
54			Grey-faced Woodpecker	Picus canus		Increasing
			Grey-headed Canary-			increasing
55	Stenostiridae	Passeriformes	flycatcher	Culicicapa ceylonensis		Stable
56	Timaliidae		Grey-hooded Babbler	Cyanoderma bicolor		Decreasing
57	Phylloscopidae		Grey-hooded Warbler	Phylloscopus xanthoschistos		Stable
58	Corvidae		Grey Treepie	Dendrocitta formosae		Decreasing
59	Motacillidae		Grey Wagtail	Motacilla cinerea		Stable
60	Pycnonotidae		Himalayan Bulbul	Pycnonotus leucogenys		Increasing
61	Corvidae		House Crow	Corvus splendens		Stable
62	Passeridae		House Sparrow	Passer domesticus		Decreasing
63	Phalacrocoracidae	Suliformes	Indian Cormorant	Phalacrocorax fuscicollis		Unknown
64	Muscicapidae	Passeriformes	Indian Robin	Saxicoloides fulicatus		Stable
65	Bucerotidae	Bucerotiformes	Indian Grey Hornbill	Ocyceros birostris		
66	Monarchidae	Passeriformes	Indian Paradise-flycatcher	Terpsiphone paradisi		
67	Phasianidae	Galliformes	Indian Peafowl	Pavo cristatus		
68	Picidae	Piciformes	Indian Pygmy Woodpecker	Picoides nanus		Increasing
69	Ardeidae	Pelecaniformes	Indian Pond-heron	Ardeola grayii		Unknown
70	Coraciidae	Coraciiformes	Indian Roller	Coracias benghalensis		Increasing
71	Ardeidae	Pelecaniformes	Intermediate Egret	Ardea intermedia		Decreasing
72	Leiotrichidae	Passeriformes	Jungle Babbler	Turdoides striata		Stable
73	Sturnidae		Jungle Myna	Acridotheres fuscus		Decreasing
74	Strigidae	Strigiformes	Jungle Owlet	Glaucidium radiatum		Stable
75	Phasianidae	Galliformes	Kalij Pheasant	Lophura leucomelanos		Decreasing
76	Corvidae	Passeriformes	Large-billed Crow	Corvus macrorhynchos		Stable
77	Accipitridae	Accipitriformes	Lesser Fish-eagle	Icthyophaga humilis	NT	Decreasing
78	Picidae	Piciformes	Lesser Yellownape	Picus chlorolophus	LC	Stable
79	Megalaimidae		Lineated Barbet	Psilopogon lineatus		
80	Phalacrocoracidae	Suliformes	Little Cormorant	Microcarbo niger		Unknown
81	Ardeidae	Pelecaniformes	Little Egret	Egretta garzetta		Increasing
82	Campephagidae	Passeriformes	Long-tailed Minivet	Pericrocotus ethologus		Stable
83	Laniidae		Long-tailed Shrike	Lanius schach		Unknown
84	Accipitridae	Accipitriformes	Mountain Hawk-eagle	Nisaetus nipalensis		Decreasing
85	Hirundinidae	Passeriformes	Nepal House Martin	Delichon nipalense		Stable
86	Turdidae		Orange-headed Thrush	Geokichla citrina		Decreasing
87	Accipitridae	Accipitriformes	Oriental Honey-buzzard	Pernis ptilorhynchus		Stable
88	Muscicapidae	Passeriformes	Oriental Magpie-robin	Copsychus saularis		

# Gupta et al.

	Family	Order	Common name	Scientific name	IUCN Red List Status <sup>#</sup>	Population trend <sup>#</sup>
89	Columbidae	Columbiformes	Oriental Turtle-dove	Streptopelia orientalis		
90	Zosteropidae	Passeriformes	Oriental White-eye	Zosterops palpebrosus		Decreasing
91	Accipitridae	Accipitriformes	Pallas's Fish-eagle	Haliaeetus leucoryphus	EN	
92	Muscicapidae	Passeriformes	Pied Bushchat	Saxicola caprata	LC	Stable
93	Alcedinidae	Coraciiformes	Pied Kingfisher	Ceryle rudis		Unknown
94	Muscicapidae	Passeriformes	Plumbeous Water-redstart	Phoenicurus fuliginosus		Stable
95	Psittacidae	Psittaciformes	Plum-headed Parakeet	Psittacula cyanocephala		Decreasing
96	Nectariniidae	Passeriformes	Purple Sunbird	Cinnyris asiaticus		Stable
97	Corvidae		Red-billed Blue Magpie	Urocissa erythroryncha		Increasing
98	Leiotrichidae	Passeriformes	Red-billed Leiothrix	Leiothrix lutea		Decreasing
99	Psittacidae	Psittaciformes	Red-breasted Parakeet	Psittacula alexandri	NT	
100	Phasianidae	Galliformes	Red Junglefowl	Gallus gallus	LC	
101	Hirundinidae	Passeriformes	Red-rumped Swallow	Cecropis daurica		Stable
102	Pycnonotidae		Red-vented Bulbul	Pycnonotus cafer		Increasing
103	Charadriidae	Charadriiformes	Red-wattled Lapwing	Vanellus indicus		Unknown
104	Pycnonotidae	Passeriformes	Red-whiskered Bulbul	Pycnonotus jocosus		Decreasing
105	Charadriidae	Charadriiformes	River Lapwing	Vanellus duvaucelii	NT	
106	Columbidae	Columbiformes	Rock Dove	Columba livia	LC	
107	Sturnidae	Passeriformes	Rosy Starling	Pastor roseus		Unknown
108	Muscicapidae		Rufous-bellied Niltava	Niltava sundara		Stable
109	Leiotrichidae		Rufous Sibia	Heterophasia capistrata		Unknown
110	Anatidae	Anseriformes	Ruddy Shelduck	Tadorna ferruginea		
111	Corvidae	Passeriformes	Rufous Treepie	Dendrocitta vagabunda		Stable
112	Passeridae		Russet Sparrow	Passer cinnamomeus		
113	Timaliidae		Rusty-cheeked Scimitar- babbler	Erythrogenys erythrogenys		
114	Caprimulgidae	Caprimulgiformes	Savanna Nightjar	Caprimulgus affinis		
115	Accipitridae	Accipitriformes	Shikra	Accipiter badius		
116	Muscicapidae	Passeriformes	Slaty-blue Flycatcher	Ficedula tricolor		
117	Psittacidae	Psittaciformes	Slaty-headed Parakeet	Psittacula himalayana		
118	Dicruridae	Passeriformes	Spangled Drongo	Dicrurus bracteatus		
119	Muscicapidae		Spotted Forktail	Enicurus maculatus		
120	Accipitridae	Accipitriformes	Steppe Eagle	Aquila nipalensis	EN	Decreasing
121	Ardeidae	Pelecaniformes	Striated Heron	Butorides striata	LC	
122	Leiotrichidae	Passeriformes	Striated Laughingthrush	Grammatoptila striata		
123	Accipitridae	Accipitriformes	Tawny Eagle	Aquila rapax		
124	Strigidae	Strigiformes	Tawny Fish-owl	Ketupa flavipes		Stable
125	Sittidae	Passeriformes	Velvet-fronted Nuthatch	Sitta frontalis		
126	Columbidae	Columbiformes	Western Spotted Dove	Spilopelia suratensis		Increasing
127	Alcedinidae	Coraciiformes	White-breasted Kingfisher	Halcyon smyrnensis		
128	Rallidae	Gruiformes	White-breasted Waterhen	Amaurornis phoenicurus		Unknown
129	Motacillidae	Passeriformes	White-browed Wagtail	Motacilla maderaspatensis		Stable
130	Muscicapidae		White-capped Water-redstart	Phoenicurus leucocephalus		
131	Leiotrichidae		White-crested Laughingthrush	Garrulax leucolophus		Decreasing
132	Rhipiduridae		White-throated Fantail	Rhipidura albicollis		Stable

	Family	Order	Common name	Scientific name	IUCN Red List Status <sup>#</sup>	Population trend <sup>#</sup>
133	Tyrannidae		White-throated Flycatcher	Empidonax albigularis		
134	Alcedinidae	Coraciiformes	White-throated Kingfisher	Halcyon smyrnensis		Increasing
135	Sylviidae	Passeriformes	Yellow-eyed Babbler	Chrysomma sinense		Stable
136	Columbidae	Columbiformes	Yellow-footed Green-pigeon	Treron phoenicopterus		Increasing

"The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species 2019 | LC—Least Concern | NT—Near Threatened | EN—Endangered.

better employment opportunities (see Everard et al. 2019 for discussion of outmigration pressures in the Indian Himalaya).

Avitourism can be a cost-effective way to simultaneously create jobs whilst delivering conservation and human development benefits (Biggs et al. 2011), as birdwatchers are willing to travel to remote and lessdeveloped locations, providing livelihood opportunities to areas that hold unique or locally characteristic bird resources. Increasing the number of tourists and the socio-economic and ecological benefits they create also raise associated ecological challenges that will require sensitive management. It is also important to ensure that benefits accrue to local communities in addition to tour operators, if incentives for local conservation action are to be guaranteed (Everard & Kataria 2011). A comprehensive management plan (CMP) including avitourism, with support from local government for developing more scientific and sustainable approaches will become essential in the coming years.

#### CONCLUSION

One of the key highlights of this work was that it was undertaken outside of the two critical protected areas of the region: Corbett and Rajaji tiger reserves (Figure 1). Avitourism performed outside of protected areas has the potential to assist in protecting bird habitats that are not subject to such a high level of statutory protection, and spreading societal benefits and tourism pressures including reducing disturbance to threatened species within the protected areas (Basnet et al. 2019). Such supportive benefits have also been previously reported from southern Poland, where riverine habitats constitute biodiversity hotspots for migratory birds (Figarski & Kajtoch 2015). It is important to note that birdwatching tourism is dependent upon the diversity and visibility of species in the target destination, with sightings of migratory birds, songbirds and birds of prey also in popular demand from avitourists (Maldonado et al. 2018). This fundamental requirement is compatible

with conservation goals. Birdwatching activities at the study sites can not only boost the economic potential of the local community, but also help in the gathering of information on little known/Data Deficient species. Avitourism can, thus, make a significant contribution to the growing need for knowledge-gathering to support the conservation of species other than those with specific conservation designations or in formally protected areas (Whitelaw et al. 2014).

#### REFERENCES

- Alfthan, B., N. Gupta, H.L. Gjerdi, T. Schoolmeester, M. Andresen, M. Jurek & N.K. Agrawal (2018). Outlook on climate change adaptation in the Hindu Kush Himalaya. Mountain Adaptation Outlook Series. United Nations Environment Programme, GRID-Arendal and the International Centre for Integrated Mountain Development: Vienna, Arendal and Kathmandu, 96pp.
- Bashir, T., S.K. Behera, A. Khan & P. Gautam (2012). An inventory of mammals, birds and reptiles along a section of the river and banks of upper Ganges, India. *Journal of Threatened Taxa* 4(9): 2900–2910. https://doi.org/10.11609/JoTT.o2692.2900-10
- Basnet, D., P. Kandel, N. Chettri, Y. Yang, M.S. Lodhi, N.Z. Htun, K. Uddin & E. Sharma (2019). Biodiversity research trends and gaps from the confluence of three global biodiversity hotspots in the fareastern Himalaya. *International Journal of Ecology* 2019(1323419): 1–14. https://doi.org/10.1155/2019/1323419
- Biggs, D., J. Turpie, C. Fabricius & A. Spenceley (2011). The value of avitourism for conservation and job creation – an analysis from South Africa. *Conservation and Society* 9: 80–90.
- Booth, J.E., K.J. Gaston, K.L. Evans & P.R. Armsworth (2011). The value of species rarity in biodiversity recreation: A birdwatching example. *Biological Conservation* 144: 2728–2732.
- Callaghan, C.T., M. Slater, R.E. Major, M. Morrison, J.M. Martin & R.T. Kingsford (2017). Travelling birds generate eco-travellers: The economic potential of vagrant birdwatching. *Human Dimensions of Wildlife* 23(1): 71–82.
- Chettri, N., D.C. Deb, E. Sharma & R. Jackson (2005). The relationship between bird communities and habitat. *Mountain Research and Development* 25(3): 235–43.
- **Connell, J. (2009).** Birdwatching, twitching and tourism: towards an Australian perspective. *Australian Geographer* 40: 203–217.
- Cordell, H. & N. Herbert (2002). The popularity of birding is still growing. *Birding* 34: 54–61.
- Eubanks, T.L., J.R. Stoll & R.B. Ditton (2004). Understanding the diversity of eight birder sub populations: socio-demographic characteristics, motivations, expenditures and net benefits. *Journal* of Ecotourism 3(3): 151–172.
- Everard, M. & D. Noble (2010). The development of bird indicators for British fresh waters and wetlands. Aquatic Conservation: Marine and Freshwater Ecosystems 20: S117–S124.

- Everard, M. & G. Kataria (2011). Recreational angling markets to advance the conservation of a reach of the western Ramganga River. *Aquatic Conservation* 21(1): 101–108.
- Everard, M., N. Gupta, C.A. Scott, P.C. Tiwari, B. Joshi, G. Kataria & S. Kumar (2019). Assessing livelihood-ecosystem interdependencies and natural resource governance in Indian villages in the middle Himalayas. *Regional Environmental Change* 19: 165–177.
- Figarski, T. & L. Kajtoch (2015). Alterations of riverine ecosystems adversely affect bird assemblages. *Hydrobiologia* 744: 287–296.
- Gregory, R.D., D. Noble & J. Custance (2004). The state of play of farmland birds: population trends and conservation status of lowland farmland birds in the United Kingdom. *Ibis* 146: 1–13.
- Gregory, R.D., A. van-Strien, P. Vorisek, A.W. Gmelig Meyling, D.G. Noble, R.P.B. Foppen & D.W. Gibbons (2005). Developing indicators for European birds. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society* B360: 269–288.
- Hausmann, A., T. Toivonen, V. Heikinheimo, H. Tenkanen, R. Slotow & E. Di Minin (2017). Social media reveal that charismatic species are not the main attractor of ecotourists to sub-Saharan protected areas. *Scientific Reports* 7: 763.
- ICIMOD (2019). Regional workshop on developing birdwatching tourism in Baihualing, Yunnan, China. ICIMOD Workshop Report 2019. ICIMOD, Kathmandu, 32pp.
- **INCCA (2010).** Indian Network for Climate Change Assessment. Ministry of Environment & Forests, Government of India. Climate Change and India: a 4x4 assessment. A sectoral and regional analysis for 2030s, 164pp.
- Jackson, L.E., J.C. Kurtz & W.S. Fisher (2000). Evaluation Guidelines for Ecological Indicators. Environmental Protection Agency: Washington DC, Report No. EPA/620/R-99/0005.
- Jones, D. (2011). An appetite for connection: why we need to understand the effect and value of feeding wild birds. *Emu* 111(2): i–vii.
- Lawton, L.J. (2009). Birding festivals, sustainability, and ecotourism: an ambiguous relationship. *Journal of Travel Research* 48: 259–267.

- Maldonado, J.H., R.D.P. Moreno-Sanchez, S. Espinoza, A. Bruner & J.G. Myers (2018). Peace is much more than doves: The economic benefits of bird-based tourism as a result of the peace treaty in Colombia. *World Development* 106: 78–86.
- Puhakka, L., M. Salo & I.E. Sääksjärvi (2011). Bird diversity, birdwatching tourism and conservation in Peru: a geographic analysis. *PLoS ONE* 6(11): e26786.
- Sekercioglu, C. (2002). Impacts of birdwatching on human and avian communities. *Environmental Conservation* 29(3): 282–289.
- Şekercioğlu, C.S., G.C. Daily & P.R. Ehrlich (2004). Ecosystem consequences of bird declines. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 101: 18042– 18047. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0408049101
- Shrestha, A.B., N.K. Agarwal, B. Alfthan, S.R. Bajracharya, J. Marechal & B. van Oort (Eds). (2015). The Himalayan Climate and Water Atlas: Impact of climate change on water resources in five of Asia's major river basins. ICIMOD, GRID-Arendal and CICERO. 1–96.
- Singh, S., M. Youssouf, Z.A. Malik & R.W. Bussmann (2017). Sacred groves: myths, beliefs, and biodiversity conservation: a case study from western Himalaya, India. *International Journal of Ecology* 2017(3828609): 1–12.
- Steven, R., C. Morrison & J.G. Castley (2014). Birdwatching and avitourism: a global review of research into its participant markets, distribution and impacts, highlighting future research priorities to inform sustainable avitourism management. *Journal of Sustainable Tourism* 23: 1257–1276.
- Vas, K. (2013). Birding trails as sustainable tourism development. International Journal of Sustainable Development 6(3): 23–34.
- Whitelaw, P.A., B.E.M. King & D. Tolkach (2014). Protected areas, conservation and tourism–financing the sustainable dream. *Journal* of Sustainable Tourism 22(4): 584–603.
- Watts, J. (2018). Red list research finds 26,000 global species under extinction threat. *The Guardian* 05 July 2018. Available online at https://www.theguardian.com/environment/2018/jul/05/red-listresearch-finds-26000-species-under-extinction-threat. Downloaded on 20 June 2018.







The Journal of Threatened Taxa (JoTT) is dedicated to building evidence for conservation globally by publishing peer-reviewed articles online every month at a reasonably rapid rate at www.threatenedtaxa.org. All articles published in JoTT are registered under Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License unless otherwise mentioned. JoTT allows allows unrestricted use, reproduction, and distribution of articles in any medium by providing adequate credit to the author(s) and the source of publication.

# ISSN 0974-7907 (Online) | ISSN 0974-7893 (Print)

August 2019 | Vol. 11 | No. 10 | Pages: 14247–14390 Date of Publication: 26 August 2019 (Online & Print) DOI: 10.11609/jott.2019.11.10.14247-14390

# www.threatenedtaxa.org

#### **Editorial**

Wildlife's Wonder Woman—Sally Raulston Walker (12 October 1944–22 August 2019) – Sanjay Molur, Pp. 14247–14248

#### Communications

Species diversity and spatial distribution of amphibian fauna along the altitudinal gradients in Jigme Dorji National Park, western Bhutan – Bal Krishna Koirala, Karma Cheda & Tshering Penjor, Pp. 14249–14258

The soft-release of captive-born Kaiser's Mountain Newt Neurergus kaiseri (Amphibia: Caudata) into a highland stream, western Iran – Tayebe Salehi, Vahid Akmali & Mozafar Sharifi, Pp. 14259–14267

The status of waterbird populations of Chhaya Rann Wetland Complex in Porbandar, Gujarat, India

– Dhavalkumar Vargiya & Anita Chakraborty, Pp. 14268–14278

# Diversity and temporal variation of the bird community in paddy fields of Kadhiramangalam, Tamil Nadu, India

- Chaithra Shree Jayasimhan & Padmanabhan Pramod, Pp. 14279–14291

First videos of endemic Zanzibar Servaline Genet Genetta servalina archeri, African Palm Civet Nandinia binotata (Mammalia: Carnivora: Viverridae) and other small carnivores on Unguja Island, Tanzania – Helle V. Goldman & Martin T. Walsh, Pp. 14292–14300

# The identification of pika and hare through tricho-taxonomy (Mammalia: Lagomorpha)

– Manokaran Kamalakannan, Kailash Chandra, Joy Krishna De & Chinnadurai Venkatraman, Pp. 14301–14308

Palynological analysis of faecal matter in African Forest Elephants *Loxodonta cyclotis* (Mammalia: Proboscidea: Elephantidae) at Omo Forest Reserve, Nigeria

 Okwong John Walter, Olusola Helen Adekanmbi & Omonu Clifford, Pp. 14309–14317

Avitourism opportunities as a contribution to conservation and rural livelihoods in the Hindu Kush Himalaya - a field perspective – Nishikant Gupta, Mark Everard, Ishaan Kochhar & Vinod Kumar Belwal, Pp. 14318–14327

Pollination in an endemic and threatened monoecious herb *Begonia* satrapis C.B. Clarke (Begoniaceae) in the eastern Himalaya, India – Subhankar Gurung, Aditya Pradhan & Arun Chettri, Pp. 14328–14333

Multivariate analysis of elements from the microhabitats of selected plateaus in the Western Ghats, Maharashtra, India – Priti Vinayak Aphale, Dhananjay C. Meshram, Dyanesh M. Mahajan, Prasad Anil Kulkarni & Shraddha Prasad Kulkarni, Pp. 14334–14348

#### Short Communications

# Diversity of butterflies of the Shettihalli Wildlife Sanctuary, Shivamogga District, Karnataka, India

– M.N. Harisha, Harish Prakash, B.B. Hosetti & Vijaya Kumara, Pp. 14349– 14357

# First record of two rare brachyuran crabs: *Drachiella morum* Alcock, 1896 and *Quadrella maculosa* Alcock, 1898 along the Tamil Nadu coast, India

Chinnathambi Viswanathan, Sampath Goutham, Vijay Kumar Deepak
Samuel, Pandian Krishnan, Ramachandran Purvaja & Ramachandran
Ramesh, Pp. 14358–14362

#### Records of the Marbled Cat *Pardofelis marmorata* and the Asiatic Golden Cat *Catopuma temminckii* (Mammalia: Carnivora: Felidae) from the community forests surrounding the Dzükou Valley in Nagaland, India

– Bhavendu Joshi, Biang La Nam Syiem, Rokohebi Kuotsu, Arjun Menon, Jayanta Gogoi, Varun Rshav Goswami & Divya Vasudev, Pp. 14363–14367

# Rediscovery of *Calanthe davidii* (Orchidaceae) after 11 decades in the western Himalaya, India

- Ashutosh Sharma, Nidhan Singh & Pankaj Kumar, Pp. 14368-14372

## Notes

## Range extension of the Gooty Tarantula *Poecilotheria metallica* (Araneae: Theraphosidae) in the Eastern Ghats of Tamil Nadu, India – Kothandapani Raman, Sivangnanaboopathidoss Vimalraj, Bawa Mothilal Krishnakumar, Natesan Balachandran & Abhishek Tomar, Pp. 14373–14376

Some recent evidence of the presence of the Critically Endangered *Gyps* vulture populations in northern Shan State, Myanmar

 – Sai Sein Lin Oo, Nang Lao Kham, Kyaw Myo Naing & Swen C. Renner, Pp. 14377–14380

## Two new locations for the Vulnerable Black-necked Crane *Grus nigricollis* (Przhevalsky, 1876) (Aves: Gruiformes: Gruidae) in Arunachal Pradesh, India

- Rohan Krish Menzies, Megha Rao & Abhinav Kumar, Pp. 14381-14384

# Aquilaria malaccensis (Malvales: Thymelaeaceae): a new host plant record for *Deudorix epijarbas cinnabarus* (Lepidoptera: Lycaenidae) in Malaysia

- Kah Hoo Lau & Su Ping Ong, Pp. 14385-14387

Rediscovery of Nilgiri Mallow Abutilon neelgerrense var. fischeri T.K. Paul & M.P. Nayar (Malvaceae) after a century from southern India – Varsha Vilasrao Nimbalkar, Arun Prasanth Ravichandran & Milind Madhav Sardesai, Pp. 14388–14390

# **Publisher & Host**



ك ك ك المحافظة على المحافظة على المحافظة على المحافظة على المحافظة على المحافظة محافظة محافظة محافظة محافظة المحافظة محافظة المحافظة المحافظة المحافظة المحافظة المحافظة محافظة المحافظة محافظة محافظة محافظة محافظة المحافظة محافظة المحافظة محافظة المحافظة المحافظة المحافظة المحافظة المحافظة المحافظة المحافظة المحافظة المحافظة محافظة محافظة محافظة محافظة محافظة محافظة محافظة محافظة مح

Member



