

The Rufford Small Grants Foundation

Final Report

Congratulations on the completion of your project that was supported by The Rufford Small Grants Foundation.

We ask all grant recipients to complete a Final Report Form that helps us to gauge the success of our grant giving. We understand that projects often do not follow the predicted course but knowledge of your experiences is valuable to us and others who may be undertaking similar work. Please be as honest as you can in answering the questions – remember that negative experiences are just as valuable as positive ones if they help others to learn from them.

Please complete the form in English and be as clear and concise as you can. We will ask for further information if required. If you have any other materials produced by the project, particularly a few relevant photographs, please send these to us separately.

Please submit your final report to jane@rufford.org.

Thank you for your help.

Josh Cole, Grants Director

Grant Recipient Details			
Your name	Son Ho		
Project title	Vulnerability of human-forest systems to climate change in		
,	northern Vietnam		
RSG reference	62.01.09		
Reporting period	Final report		
Amount of grant	£6000		
Your email address	son.ho@anu.edu.au		
Date of this report	30 th August 2010		



1. Please indicate the level of achievement of the project's original objectives and include any relevant comments on factors affecting this.

	Not	Partially	Fully				
Objective	achieved	achieved	achieved	Comments			
Understanding the			x	The study revealed that vulnerability of			
vulnerability of the				forest dependent communities is shaped			
coupled human-				by both climatic and non-climatic factors			
forest systems to				and processes. Climatic factors include			
climate variability				changing in rainfall pattern, warmer			
and change				climate causing more frequent flooding,			
				uneven rainfall causing severe seasonal			
				drought, and extreme events such as			
				cold spell in 2008. Non-climatic factors			
				include but not limited to limited access			
				to development opportunities such as			
				education, health care, employment, or			
				price shock.			
Understanding the			Х	Study found that forest extraction can			
importance of				act as an economic resource for			
forest income in				households experiencing misfortune.			
coping with shocks				The findings point to an important			
among households				development issue, that is, those people			
and communities				with fewest alternative options rely			
				most heavily on forest extraction in			
				times of crisis. Those with the highest			
				education and wealth rely least on forest			
				products.			

2. Please explain any unforeseen difficulties that arose during the project and how these were tackled (if relevant).

I have much experience in working in the project area. Therefore, all activities were well planned and carried out.

3. Briefly describe the three most important outcomes of your project.

Vulnerability of forest communities is shaped by both climatic and non-climatic risks. This highlights the importance of both climatic and non-climatic risks when designing and implementing climate change adaptation policy.

Those people with fewest alternative options rely most heavily on forest extraction in times of crisis. Therefore, vulnerability of forest communities affects forest protection and conservation. Also, the vulnerability of forests is likely to affect the vulnerable groups who rely on forest extraction as a safety net. This has significant implications for forest management, biodiversity conservation and poverty reduction policies.



Some of the communities' responses to climatic and other stressors have depleted and degraded natural resources such as forest, soil and water resources which will undermine their adaptive capacity in the future.

4. Briefly describe the involvement of local communities and how they have benefitted from the project (if relevant).

Local communities were actively involved in the research process, through participation in survey, interview, and group discussion. Their involvement in the research project will help them better understand the likely increased risks from natural disasters. This might change their understanding of the causes of natural disasters from hazard-led to vulnerability perspective. This change of awareness could help them to address the root causes of natural disasters and thus to increase their adaptive capacity. Instead of thinking "no thing we can do" now they might think "we can mitigate the impacts of natural disasters".

5. Are there any plans to continue this work?

I plan to develop a bigger project proposal to continue this work in the future after I complete my PhD degree (2012).

6. How do you plan to share the results of your work with others?

I will manage to write a paper or more to share the results of my work.

7. Timescale: Over what period was the RSG used? How does this compare to the anticipated or actual length of the project?

The field work activities were carried out as planned from June 2009 to February 2010. This timeline was also approved by my university. After the fieldwork, I have been doing data analysis and writing thesis. In general, I strictly follow the proposed plan.

8. Budget: Please provide a breakdown of budgeted versus actual expenditure and the reasons for any differences. All figures should be in £ sterling, indicating the local exchange rate used.

Item	Budgeted	Actual	Difference	Comments
	Amount (£)	Amount (£)		
Stationery	300	300	0	
Books and journals (1x£50 and 20x£15)	350	350	0	
Photocopying	200	200	0	
Organising group discussion (20 groups x £50)	1000	1000	0	
Organising final workshop (2 workshops x £325)	650	650	0	
Local transport (20 days x £50)	1000	1000	0	
Accommodation (65 days x £20)	1300	1300	0	
Meals (240 days x £5)	1200	1200	0	
TOTAL	600	6000	0	

Exchange rate: £1 = VND27, 000.00 (June 2009)



9. Looking ahead, what do you feel are the important next steps?

This project is part of my PhD fieldwork. Thus, I will focus on writing the thesis, publishing paper and developing project proposal for further work.

10. Did you use the RSGF logo in any materials produced in relation to this project? Did the RSGF receive any publicity during the course of your work?

I have not yet used the RSGF logo in any materials produced in relation to this project. No publicity has been made during the course of my work up to now.

11. Any other comments?

I am obliged to The Rufford Small Grants Foundation which funded this project to enable me to carry out my PhD fieldwork.