

#### The Rufford Small Grants Foundation

### **Final Report**

Congratulations on the completion of your project that was supported by The Rufford Small Grants Foundation.

We ask all grant recipients to complete a Final Report Form that helps us to gauge the success of our grant giving. We understand that projects often do not follow the predicted course but knowledge of your experiences is valuable to us and others who may be undertaking similar work. Please be as honest as you can in answering the questions – remember that negative experiences are just as valuable as positive ones if they help others to learn from them.

Please complete the form in English and be as clear and concise as you can. We will ask for further information if required. If you have any other materials produced by the project, particularly a few relevant photographs please send these to us separately.

Please submit your final report to <a href="mailto:jane@rufford.org">jane@rufford.org</a>.

Thank you for your help.

Josh Cole, Grants Director

Grant Recipient Details	
Your name	Janak Giri
Project title	Local Community Development for Shrinking Habitat  Destruction and Human Elephant Conflict in Sunsari, Nepal
RSG reference	
Reporting period	May 2009 - June 2010
Amount of grant	£5980
Your email address	prdcnepal@gmail.com
Date of this report	June 30, 2010



# 1. Please indicate the level of achievement of the project's original objectives and include any relevant comments on factors affecting this.

People are still in competition for living space with elephants in Sunsari around the Koshi Tappu Wildlife Reserve in eastern Nepal. The elephant's habitat had been destroyed for peoples' space. Likewise, the habitat was being exploited for fruits, fodder, fuel, etc, and the forests cleared for cultivation, plantations, settlements, etc. Similarly, developmental activities such as hydroelectric projects, roads, college and hospital construction and furniture collection for infrastructure development also influenced the elephants' habitat. While launching the project, such kinds of activities are being progressed and changed positively. Likewise, the rate of killing of elephants for ivory extraction, major problem in cultivations against elephant habitats, elephants' raiding, the problems of elephant habitat destruction and human-elephant conflict (HEC) etc are being reduced. Likewise Capacity Development and Habitat Restoration, awareness and alternative livelihood programme, animal husbandry, chilli production, sustainable elephant habitat management and conflict mitigation and dissemination activities were conducted and achieved positive results.

Objective	Not	Partially	Fully	Comments
	achieved	achieved	achieved	
Review of existing indigenous				All the activities were held,
habitat conservation practices.				involving all stakeholders;
Orientation workshop.				i.e. community/local
Resource mapping by using				leaders, farmers, opinion
PRA method.				leaders and key informants.
Preparing action plan in close				
participation with local				
community.				
Formation new and revision				
old Community Forestry				
Group.				
Habitat watching group				
formation.				
Community interaction and				The activities were held with
awareness workshop on				the local stakeholders. This
elephant habitat conservation				awareness creation helped
for ecosystem.				at changing community's
Forest management,				attitude in order to motivate
environmental enrichment,				them to efficiently manage
natural resource conservation				elephant habitat.
training.				Because of the short span of
Flood management training.				time the flood management
Sustainable development				training was not satisfied.
training.				
Biodiversity conservation and				
livelihood training.				
Private forest, community				
forest, leasehold forest and				
nursery development training.				



Implement land-use planning		The project encouraged the
policies within CF Users' Group		stakeholders to protect key
policies within ci osers droup		areas for elephant and
		creating buffer zones and
		investing in alternative land
		for farmers. These land use
		policies promoted
		awareness but not
		implement yet.
Ecological restoration of		Efforts were taken to
elephant corridors with		protect corridors which
natural habitat.		played a crucial role in
		maintaining elephant
		habitats and their
		interconnections wherever
		land were used for
		expanding existing corridors
		with minimum
		compensation. Local
		residents involved in
		corridor conservation by
		providing them incentives
		for maintaining their lands
		as corridors
Elephant friendly products.		Several kinds of elephant
		friendly products were
		planted around the elephant
		habitat like bamboo, sal,
		sisau, etc.
Compensation/insurance to		The local stakeholders were
HEC victim.		trained for community-
		based compensation and
		insurance systems which
		might exist for damage done
		to livestock but they have
		not applied yet.
Reward for habitat		The best elephant
conservation services.		conservation group was
		rewarded Nrs. 10,000 for
		their best conservation
		effort which encouraged to
		all stakeholders.
Encouragement to construct a		Local people have been
protective stone wall around		constructing protective
agriculture land.		stone wall partially.
		Most of the local
Developing awareness on chilli		stakeholders have started
cultivation and chilli dung brick		
production.		chilli cultivation as fence of



	agriculture land. It is also
	alternative income
	generation resource.
Establishment of village	The information centre is
managed information centre.	not established but local
	stakeholders collect
	information themselves.
Establish the networking with	They have been establishing
other institutions.	a network with Forest
other matitutions.	Department, NGOs, CBOs,
	and academic institutions
	with the stakeholders to
	protect the habitat and
	reduce the HEC.
Capacity Development and	Under this category, various
Habitat Restoration	activities were carried out
	such as:
	1. Conducted training for
	building the capacity of
	community forestry (CF)
	user's groups, non-timber
	forest product (NTFP)
	· · ·
	enterprises development,
	sustainable forestry and
	agriculture development
	2. Promoted alternative
	income generating activities
	like Sent batii production,
	pickle training, soap
	production, candle making,
	animal husbandry and
	livestock development,
	micro saving and credit,
	bamboo production, herbal
	production and alternative
	skill development training
	·
	like bio-briquette, solar
	heater, improved cooking
	stove, and oil extraction
	3. Conducted different kinds
	of training and awareness
	workshops, skills
	development training, seed
	money distribution and
	revolving fund were used to
	complete all the activities
	which are mentioned above
	by mobilising local
	by modified local



		community resources.	and	local
Information Dissemination		Preparing display of succession show to booklet.		y and

# 2. Please explain any unforeseen difficulties that arose during the project and how these were tackled (if relevant).

Several unforeseen difficulties arose during the project period as:

- The youth cadres of political parties (e.g. Youth communist League, YCL) tried to disturb the project. Because of their disturbance, the project was not completed on time.
- The Saptakoshi flood broken down the bridge that's why the vehicles were not operating for 3 months (during Aug-Oct 2009). In this period we could not implement the project activities.
- It was difficult to make participation of farmers in the farming season. Likewise women were participated less in the project.

#### 3. Briefly describe the three most important outcomes of your project.

- Developing awareness on chilli cultivation and chilli dung-brick production.
- Efforts were taken to protect corridors which played a crucial role in maintaining elephant
  habitats and their interconnections wherever land were used for expanding existing
  corridors with minimum compensation. Local residents involved in corridor conservation by
  providing them incentives for maintaining their lands as corridors.
- Promoted alternative income generating activities like Sent batii production, pickle training, soap production, candle making, animal husbandry and livestock development, micro saving and credit, bamboo production, herbal production and alternative skill development training like bio-briquette, solar heater, improved cooking stove, and oil extraction.

## 4. Briefly describe the involvement of local communities and how they have benefitted from the project (if relevant).

The local communities were involved intensively and we appreciated their active participation. At the same time, it was less satisfactory participation of farmers in the farming season and less participation of women by the patriarchal society. On the other hand the involvement of political parties was not better.

The local institutions, teachers, students, government's agencies involved and co-operated with the project implementation directly and indirectly that's why we succeed to get about 90% achievement as our previous planning.

#### 5. Are there any plans to continue this work?

The local community are requesting to continue the project. They request for the elephant corridor management and habitat promotion project. If the project would be continued, the human-elephant



conflict will also reduce. Thus, our team plans to continue the project by searching the different grants from different possible institutions.

#### 6. How do you plan to share the results of your work with others?

By e-mail, updating website, publishing booklet, sharing result by seminars, workshops etc.

# 7. Timescale: Over what period was the RSG used? How does this compare to the anticipated or actual length of the project?

The previous plan of the project was to implement from May 2009 to May 2010. But because of unforeseen difficulties, we were unable to implement the project as our timeline. Thus, the project was used the period from May 2009 to July 2010.

## 8. Budget: Please provide a breakdown of budgeted versus actual expenditure and the reasons for any differences. All figures should be in £ sterling, indicating the local exchange rate used.

Item	Budgeted	Actual	Difference	Comments
	Amount	Amount		
Participatory Action Planning Meeting and	630	600	30	
Social Mobilization				
Community Awareness and Sensitisation on	600	590	10	
ecosystem				
Capacity Development and Habitat	1970	2100	(130)	
Restoration				
Sustainable Elephant Habitat Management	1250	1490	(240)	
and Conflict Mitigation				
Monitoring, Evaluation, Reporting and	930	860	70	
Information Dissemination				
Program Assistant, Administration and Misc.	600	645	(45)	
TOTAL	5980	6285	(305)	The exchange
				rate: 1 £ sterling
				@ 119 NPR

### 9. Looking ahead, what do you feel are the important next steps?

The project must be specific and limited to certain short term and long term objects. Thus, I feel the next project should be very specific and action oriented.

## 10. Did you use the RSGF logo in any materials produced in relation to this project? Did the RSGF receive any publicity during the course of your work?

Yes, I used the RSGF logo in banner, training materials and other related places. I used the RSGF logo PRDC publication and website. I also used on the hoarding board hanging on the liaison office/project office during the project period.